# Coupled-Cluster Theory

for

# Nuclear Structure

Sven Binder INSTITUT FÜR KERNPHYSIK



TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT



 Nuclear interaction is not fundamental



- Nuclear interaction is not fundamental
- QCD **non-perturbative** at low energies



- Nuclear interaction is not fundamental
- QCD non-perturbative at low energies

 Low-energy chiral effective field theory for relevant degrees of freedom based on symmetries of QCD



- Nuclear interaction is not fundamental
- QCD non-perturbative at low energies

- Low-energy chiral effective field theory for relevant degrees of freedom based on symmetries of QCD
- Hierarchy of consistent NN, 3N, ...
   interactions (plus currents)



- Nuclear interaction is not fundamental
- QCD non-perturbative at low energies

- Low-energy chiral effective field theory for relevant degrees of freedom based on symmetries of QCD
- Hierarchy of consistent NN, 3N, ...
   interactions (plus currents)



• Given a nuclear interaction, does it describe **medium-mass nuclei**?

- Given a nuclear interaction, does it describe **medium-mass nuclei**?
- Medium-mass and heavy nuclei from Hartree-Fock:

- Given a nuclear interaction, does it describe **medium-mass nuclei**?
- Medium-mass and heavy nuclei from Hartree-Fock:



- Given a nuclear interaction, does it describe **medium-mass nuclei**?
- Medium-mass and heavy nuclei from Hartree-Fock:



- Given a nuclear interaction, does it describe **medium-mass nuclei**?
- Medium-mass and heavy nuclei from Hartree-Fock:



- Given a nuclear interaction, does it describe **medium-mass nuclei**?
- Medium-mass and heavy nuclei from Hartree-Fock:



#### Hartree-Fock is not exact and gives no handle on uncertainty estimates

- Given a nuclear interaction, does it describe **medium-mass nuclei**?
- Medium-mass and heavy nuclei from Hartree-Fock:



- Hartree-Fock is not exact and gives no handle on uncertainty estimates
- We **cannot** make the interaction **super soft**

- Given a nuclear interaction, does it describe **medium-mass nuclei**?
- Medium-mass and heavy nuclei from Hartree-Fock:



- Hartree-Fock is not exact and gives no handle on uncertainty estimates
- We **cannot** make the interaction **super soft**
- Need *ab initio* calculations!

- Given a nuclear interaction, does it describe **medium-mass nuclei**?
- Medium-mass and heavy nuclei from Hartree-Fock:



- Hartree-Fock is not exact and gives no handle on uncertainty estimates
- We **cannot** make the interaction **super soft**
- Need *ab initio* calculations!



• Exponential Ansatz for wave operator

$$|\Psi\rangle = e^{\hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2 + \dots + \hat{T}_A} |\Phi_0\rangle$$

• Exponential Ansatz for wave operator

$$|\Psi\rangle = e^{\hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2 + \dots + \hat{T}_A} |\Phi_0\rangle$$

•  $\hat{T}_n$  : *npn***h excitation** (cluster) operators

$$\hat{T}_n = \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \sum_{\substack{ijk...\\abc...}} t^{abc...}_{ijk...} \{ \hat{a}^{\dagger}_a \hat{a}^{\dagger}_b \hat{a}^{\dagger}_c \dots \hat{a}_k \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_i \}$$

• Exponential Ansatz for wave operator

$$|\Psi\rangle = e^{\hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2 + \dots + \hat{T}_A} |\Phi_0\rangle$$

•  $\hat{T}_n$  : *npn***h excitation** (cluster) operators

$$\hat{T}_n = \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \sum_{\substack{ijk...\\abc...}} t^{abc...}_{ijk...} \{ \hat{a}^{\dagger}_a \hat{a}^{\dagger}_b \hat{a}^{\dagger}_c \dots \hat{a}_k \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_i \}$$

• Similarity-transformed Schrödinger equation

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}|\Phi_0\rangle = \Delta E|\Phi_0\rangle , \quad \hat{\mathcal{H}} = e^{-\hat{T}} \hat{H}_N e^{\hat{T}}$$







• **CCSD**: Truncate  $\hat{T}$  at the **2p2h** level,  $\hat{T} = \hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2$ 



•  $e^{\hat{T}}$ - Ansatz: **Higher** excitations from **products** of lower excitation operators

• **CCSD**: Truncate  $\hat{T}$  at the **2p2h** level,  $\hat{T} = \hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2$ 



- e<sup>T</sup>- Ansatz: **Higher** excitations from **products** of lower excitation operators
- CCSD equations

$$\Delta E^{(\text{CCSD})} = \langle \Phi_0 | \hat{\mathcal{H}} | \Phi_0 \rangle$$
$$0 = \langle \Phi_i^a | \hat{\mathcal{H}} | \Phi_0 \rangle$$
$$0 = \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \hat{\mathcal{H}} | \Phi_0 \rangle$$

 $\hat{T}_1 \hat{T}_2 \hat{T}_2 |\Phi_0\rangle$ 

• **CCSD**: Truncate  $\hat{T}$  at the **2p2h** level,  $\hat{T} = \hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2$ 



- e<sup>T</sup>- Ansatz: **Higher** excitations from **products** of lower excitation operators
- CCSD equations

$$\Delta E^{(\text{CCSD})} = \langle \Phi_0 | \hat{\mathcal{H}} | \Phi_0 \rangle$$
$$0 = \langle \Phi_i^a | \hat{\mathcal{H}} | \Phi_0 \rangle$$
$$0 = \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \hat{\mathcal{H}} | \Phi_0 \rangle$$

• Coupled system of **nonlinear equations**, dimension  $\sim 10^8$ 

# **Coupled-Cluster Equations**

$$0 = \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \hat{\mathcal{H}} | \Phi_0 \rangle$$

$$0 = \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \left[ \hat{H}_N \left( \mathbb{1} + \hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2 + \frac{1}{2!} \hat{T}_1^2 + \hat{T}_1 \hat{T}_2 \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2!} \hat{T}_2^2 + \frac{1}{3!} \hat{T}_1^3 + \frac{1}{2!} \hat{T}_1^2 \hat{T}_2 + \frac{1}{4!} \hat{T}_1^4 \right) \right]_C | \Phi_0 \rangle$$

# **Coupled-Cluster Equations**

$$\begin{split} 0 &= v_{ij}^{ab} + \hat{P}_{ab} \sum_{c} f_{c}^{b} t_{ij}^{ac} - \hat{P}_{ij} \sum_{k} f_{j}^{k} t_{ik}^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{cd} v_{cd}^{ab} t_{ij}^{cd} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} v_{ij}^{kl} t_{kl}^{ab} + \hat{P}_{ab} \hat{P}_{ij} \sum_{ck} v_{cj}^{kb} t_{ik}^{ac} \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{cdkl} v_{cd}^{kl} t_{ij}^{cd} t_{kl}^{ab} + \hat{P}_{ij} \sum_{cdkl} v_{cd}^{kl} t_{ik}^{ac} t_{jl}^{bd} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{P}_{ij} \sum_{cdkl} v_{cd}^{kl} t_{ik}^{ab} t_{lj}^{b} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{P}_{ab} \sum_{cdkl} v_{cd}^{kl} t_{lk}^{ac} t_{ij}^{db} \\ &+ \hat{P}_{ij} \sum_{c} v_{cj}^{ab} t_{i}^{c} - \hat{P}_{ab} \sum_{k} v_{ij}^{kb} t_{k}^{a} - \hat{P}_{ij} \sum_{ck} f_{c}^{k} t_{kj}^{ab} t_{i}^{c} - \hat{P}_{ab} \sum_{ck} f_{c}^{k} t_{ij}^{cb} t_{k}^{a} \\ &+ \hat{P}_{ab} \hat{P}_{ij} \sum_{cdk} v_{cd}^{ak} t_{kj}^{db} t_{i}^{c} - \hat{P}_{ab} \hat{P}_{ij} \sum_{ckl} v_{kl}^{kl} t_{ij}^{cb} t_{k}^{a} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{P}_{ab} \sum_{ck} v_{cd}^{kb} t_{ij}^{cd} t_{k}^{a} + \frac{1}{2} \hat{P}_{ij} \sum_{ckl} v_{cd}^{kl} t_{ij}^{ab} t_{k}^{c} \\ &+ \hat{P}_{ab} \hat{P}_{ij} \sum_{cdk} v_{cd}^{ak} t_{kj}^{db} t_{i}^{c} - \hat{P}_{ab} \hat{P}_{ij} \sum_{ckl} v_{icl}^{kl} t_{lj}^{cb} t_{k}^{a} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{P}_{ab} \sum_{cdk} v_{cd}^{kb} t_{ij}^{cd} t_{k}^{a} + \frac{1}{2} \hat{P}_{ij} \sum_{ckl} v_{cl}^{kl} t_{kl}^{ab} t_{i}^{c} \\ &+ \hat{P}_{ab} \sum_{cdk} v_{cd}^{ka} t_{ij}^{db} t_{i}^{c} - \hat{P}_{ab} \hat{P}_{ij} \sum_{ckl} v_{icl}^{kl} t_{k}^{ab} + \sum_{cd} v_{cd}^{ab} t_{i}^{c} t_{j}^{d} + \sum_{kl} v_{ij}^{kl} t_{k}^{a} t_{l}^{b} - \hat{P}_{ab} \hat{P}_{ij} \sum_{ckl} v_{cd}^{kl} t_{k}^{a} t_{i}^{c} \\ &+ \hat{P}_{ab} \sum_{cdk} v_{cd}^{kl} t_{kl}^{ab} t_{i}^{c} t_{j}^{d} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ckl} v_{cd}^{kl} t_{ij}^{cd} t_{k}^{a} t_{l}^{b} - \hat{P}_{ab} \hat{P}_{ij} \sum_{cdkl} v_{cd}^{kl} t_{i}^{a} t_{l}^{b} - \hat{P}_{ab} \hat{P}_{ij} \sum_{ckl} v_{cd}^{kl} t_{i}^{a} t_{l}^{b} t_{i}^{c} - \hat{P}_{ab} \hat{P}_{ij} \sum_{ckl} v_{cd}^{kl} t_{i}^{a} t_{i}^{b} t_{i}^{c} - \hat{P}_{ij} \sum_{ckl} v_{cd}^{kl} t_{i}^{a} t_{i}^{b} t_{i}^{c} \\ &+ \hat{P}_{ab} \sum_{cdkl} v_{cd}^{kl} t_{kl}^{a} t_{i}^{c} t_{j}^{d} t_{k}^{a} t_{l}^{b} t_{i}^{c} t_{j}^{d} \\ &+ \hat{P}_{ab} \sum_{cdkl} v_{cd}^{kl} t_{i}^{k} t_{i}^{c} t_{j}^{d} t_{i}^{c} t_{i}^{d} t_{i}^{c} t_{i}^{d} t_{i}^$$

 Exploit spherical symmetry for closed-shell nuclei, use spherical tensor operator formulation

$$\hat{T}_{1} = \sum_{ai} t_{i}^{a} \left\{ \hat{a}_{a}^{\dagger} \otimes \hat{\tilde{a}}_{i} \right\}_{0}^{(0)}$$

$$\hat{T}_{2} = \sum_{abij} \sum_{J} t_{ij}^{ab}(J) \left\{ \left\{ \hat{a}_{a}^{\dagger} \otimes \hat{a}_{b}^{\dagger} \right\}^{(J)} \otimes \left\{ \hat{\tilde{a}}_{j} \otimes \hat{\tilde{a}}_{i} \right\}^{(J)} \right\}_{0}^{(0)}$$

 Exploit spherical symmetry for closed-shell nuclei, use spherical tensor operator formulation

$$\hat{T}_{1} = \sum_{ai} t_{i}^{a} \left\{ \hat{a}_{a}^{\dagger} \otimes \hat{\tilde{a}}_{i} \right\}_{0}^{(0)}$$
$$\hat{T}_{2} = \sum_{abij} \sum_{J} t_{ij}^{ab}(J) \left\{ \left\{ \hat{a}_{a}^{\dagger} \otimes \hat{a}_{b}^{\dagger} \right\}^{(J)} \otimes \left\{ \hat{\tilde{a}}_{j} \otimes \hat{\tilde{a}}_{i} \right\}^{(J)} \right\}_{0}^{(0)}$$

#### • Angular-momentum coupling of external lines



 Exploit spherical symmetry for closed-shell nuclei, use spherical tensor operator formulation

$$\hat{T}_{1} = \sum_{ai} t_{i}^{a} \left\{ \hat{a}_{a}^{\dagger} \otimes \hat{\tilde{a}}_{i} \right\}_{0}^{(0)}$$

$$\hat{T}_{2} = \sum_{abij} \sum_{J} t_{ij}^{ab}(J) \left\{ \left\{ \hat{a}_{a}^{\dagger} \otimes \hat{a}_{b}^{\dagger} \right\}^{(J)} \otimes \left\{ \hat{\tilde{a}}_{j} \otimes \hat{\tilde{a}}_{i} \right\}^{(J)} \right\}_{0}^{(0)}$$

• Angular-momentum coupling of external lines



• Express Coupled-Cluster equations in terms of

$$\langle \stackrel{^{JM}}{pq} | \hat{v} | \stackrel{^{JM}}{rs} \rangle$$
,  $\langle \stackrel{^{JM}}{ab} | \hat{t}_2 | \stackrel{^{JM}}{ij} \rangle$ ,  $\langle \stackrel{^{00}}{p} | \hat{f} | \hat{q} \rangle$ 

# <sup>16</sup>O: Exact Diagonalization vs. CCSD

#### chiral NN+3N interaction


#### $\bullet$ CCSDT, $\hat{T}=\hat{T}_1+\hat{T}_2+\hat{T}_3$ , too expensive

• CCSDT, 
$$\hat{T} = \hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2 + \hat{T}_3$$
 , too expensive

Coupled-Cluster energy functional

$$\mathcal{E} = \langle \Phi_0 | (1 + \hat{\Lambda}) \hat{\mathcal{H}} | \Phi_0 \rangle_C$$

• CCSDT, 
$$\hat{T} = \hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2 + \hat{T}_3$$
 , too expensive

Coupled-Cluster energy functional

$$\mathcal{E} = \langle \Phi_0 | (1 + \hat{\Lambda}) \hat{\mathcal{H}} | \Phi_0 \rangle_C$$



• CCSDT, 
$$\hat{T} = \hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2 + \hat{T}_3$$
 , too expensive

Coupled-Cluster energy functional

$$\mathcal{E} = \langle \Phi_0 | (1 + \hat{\Lambda}) \hat{\mathcal{H}} | \Phi_0 \rangle_C$$



• CCSDT, 
$$\hat{T} = \hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2 + \hat{T}_3$$
 , too expensive

Coupled-Cluster energy functional

 $\mathcal{E} = \langle \Phi_0 | (1 + \hat{\Lambda}) \hat{\mathcal{H}} | \Phi_0 \rangle_C$ 







$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{CR-CC(2,3)} \\ \delta E^{(\mathrm{T})} &= \frac{1}{(3!)^2} \sum_{\substack{abc\\ijk}} l_{abc}^{ijk} \mathfrak{M}_{ijk}^{abc} \\ \mathfrak{M}_{ijk}^{abc} &= \langle \Phi_{ijk}^{abc} | \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{(\mathrm{CCSD})} | \Phi_0 \rangle \\ \mathfrak{M}_{abc}^{ijk} &= \langle \Phi_0 | \left( \hat{1} + \hat{\Lambda}^{(\mathrm{CCSD})} \right) \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{(\mathrm{CCSD})} | \Phi_{ijk}^{abc} \rangle \left( D_{ijk}^{abc} \right)^{-1} \\ D_{ijk}^{abc} &= -\sum_n \langle \Phi_{ijk}^{abc} | \hat{\mathcal{H}}_n^{(\mathrm{CCSD})} | \Phi_{ijk}^{abc} \rangle \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{CR}-\mathbf{CC}(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{3})\\ \delta E^{(\mathrm{T})} &= \frac{1}{(3!)^2} \sum_{\substack{abc\\ijk}} l_{abc}^{ijk} \mathfrak{M}_{ijk}^{abc}\\ \mathfrak{M}_{ijk}^{abc} &= \langle \Phi_{ijk}^{abc} | \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{(\mathrm{CCSD})} | \Phi_0 \rangle\\ l_{abc}^{ijk} &= \langle \Phi_0 | \left( \hat{1} + \hat{\Lambda}^{(\mathrm{CCSD})} \right) \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{(\mathrm{CCSD})} | \Phi_{ijk}^{abc} \rangle \left( D_{ijk}^{abc} \right)^{-1}\\ D_{ijk}^{abc} &= -\sum_n \langle \Phi_{ijk}^{abc} | \hat{\mathcal{H}}_n^{(\mathrm{CCSD})} | \Phi_{ijk}^{abc} \rangle \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{CR-CC(2,3)} \\ \delta E^{(\mathrm{T})} &= \frac{1}{(3!)^2} \sum_{\substack{abc \\ ijk}} l_{abc}^{ijk} \mathfrak{M}_{ijk}^{abc} \\ \mathfrak{M}_{ijk}^{abc} &= \langle \Phi_{ijk}^{abc} | \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{(\mathrm{CCSD})} | \Phi_0 \rangle \\ \mathfrak{M}_{ijk}^{ijk} &= \langle \Phi_0 | \left( \hat{1} + \hat{\Lambda}^{(\mathrm{CCSD})} \right) \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{(\mathrm{CCSD})} | \Phi_{ijk}^{abc} \rangle \left( D_{ijk}^{abc} \right)^{-1} \\ D_{ijk}^{abc} &= -\sum_n \langle \Phi_{ijk}^{abc} | \hat{\mathcal{H}}_n^{(\mathrm{CCSD})} | \Phi_{ijk}^{abc} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Sven Binder - TU Darmstadt - April 2014



$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{CR-CC(2,3)} \\ \delta E^{(\mathrm{T})} &= \frac{1}{(3!)^2} \sum_{\substack{abc \\ ijk}} l_{abc}^{ijk} \mathfrak{M}_{ijk}^{abc} \\ \mathfrak{M}_{ijk}^{abc} &= \langle \Phi_{ijk}^{abc} | \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{(\mathrm{CCSD})} | \Phi_0 \rangle \\ \mathfrak{M}_{abc}^{ijk} &= \langle \Phi_0 | \left( \hat{1} + \hat{\Lambda}^{(\mathrm{CCSD})} \right) \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{(\mathrm{CCSD})} | \Phi_{ijk}^{abc} \rangle \left( D_{ijk}^{abc} \right)^{-1} \\ D_{ijk}^{abc} &= -\sum_n \langle \Phi_{ijk}^{abc} | \hat{\mathcal{H}}_n^{(\mathrm{CCSD})} | \Phi_{ijk}^{abc} \rangle \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{CR}-\mathbf{CC}(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{3})\\ \delta E^{(\mathrm{T})} &= \frac{1}{(3!)^2} \sum_{\substack{abc\\ijk}} l_{abc}^{ijk} \mathfrak{M}_{ijk}^{abc}\\ \mathfrak{M}_{ijk}^{abc} &= \langle \Phi_{ijk}^{abc} | \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{(\mathrm{CCSD})} | \Phi_0 \rangle\\ l_{abc}^{ijk} &= \langle \Phi_0 | \left( \hat{1} + \hat{\Lambda}^{(\mathrm{CCSD})} \right) \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{(\mathrm{CCSD})} | \Phi_{ijk}^{abc} \rangle \left( D_{ijk}^{abc} \right)^{-1}\\ D_{ijk}^{abc} &= -\sum_n \langle \Phi_{ijk}^{abc} | \hat{\mathcal{H}}_n^{(\mathrm{CCSD})} | \Phi_{ijk}^{abc} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

 CR-CC(2,3) shows excellent agreement with quasi-exact diagonalizations

• **3N interactions** important for nuclear structure

• 3N interactions important for nuclear structure

• Full inclusion of  $\hat{V}_{3\mathrm{N}}$  challenging

• **3N interactions** important for nuclear structure

• Full inclusion of  $\hat{V}_{3\mathrm{N}}$  challenging

$$\hat{V}_{3N} = \not\downarrow - \checkmark + \not\downarrow - \checkmark + \cdots$$

#### Normal-ordering approximation:

$$\hat{V}_{3\mathrm{N}}=\hat{W}^{0\mathrm{B}}+\hat{W}^{1\mathrm{B}}+\hat{W}^{2\mathrm{B}}+\hat{W}^{3\mathrm{B}}$$
 (via normal ordering)

- **3N interactions** important for nuclear structure
- Full inclusion of  $\hat{V}_{3\mathrm{N}}$  challenging

$$\hat{V}_{3N} = \not\downarrow - \checkmark + \not\downarrow - \checkmark + \cdots$$

Normal-ordering approximation:

$$\hat{V}_{3\mathrm{N}} = \hat{W}^{0\mathrm{B}} + \hat{W}^{1\mathrm{B}} + \hat{W}^{2\mathrm{B}} + \hat{W}^{3\mathrm{B}}$$
 (via normal ordering)

- 3N interactions important for nuclear structure
- Full inclusion of  $\hat{V}_{3\mathrm{N}}$  challenging

$$\hat{V}_{3N} = \not + \not + \not + \not + \dots$$

Normal-ordering approximation:

$$\hat{V}_{3N} = \hat{W}^{0B} + \hat{W}^{1B} + \hat{W}^{2B} + \hat{W}^{3B}$$
 (via normal ordering)

 Generalization of Coupled-Cluster theory to 3N Hamiltonians elaborate, but possible

- **3N interactions** important for nuclear structure
- Full inclusion of  $\hat{V}_{3\mathrm{N}}$  challenging

$$\hat{V}_{3N} = \not + \not + \not + \not + \dots$$

Normal-ordering approximation:

$$\hat{V}_{3N} = \hat{W}^{0B} + \hat{W}^{1B} + \hat{W}^{2B} + \hat{W}^{3B}$$
 (via normal ordering)

- Generalization of Coupled-Cluster theory to 3N Hamiltonians elaborate, but possible
- Currently, Coupled-Cluster theory is the only medium-mass ab initio method capable of including full 3N interactions

## Coupled Cluster with Full 3N Interactions



# Coupled Cluster with Full 3N Interactions



 Accuracy of normal-ordering approximation verified for medium-mass nuclei

(Error < 1%)

# Coupled Cluster with Full 3N Interactions



 Accuracy of normal-ordering approximation verified for medium-mass nuclei
(Error < 1%)</li>

(Error < 1%)

 Discarded 3N interaction relevant for CCSD, irrelevant for triples correction





• Many-body treatment: Uncertainties 2-4%



• Many-body treatment: Uncertainties 2-4%



• Many-body treatment: Uncertainties 2-4%



• Many-body treatment: Uncertainties 2-4%



• Many-body treatment: Uncertainties 2-4%

- Systematic overbinding  $\Rightarrow$  Still **deficiencies** 
  - \* Next step: **Consistent 3N** interaction at N<sup>3</sup>LO



• Many-body treatment: Uncertainties 2-4%

⇒ Ideal tool to **test current and future** chiral Hamiltonians

• Systematic overbinding  $\Rightarrow$  Still **deficiencies** 

\* Next step: **Consistent 3N** interaction at N<sup>3</sup>LO

 Single Hamiltonian fixed in A≤4 systems able to qualitatively reproduce the experimental trend of binding energies



• Many-body treatment: Uncertainties 2-4%

⇒ Ideal tool to **test current and future** chiral Hamiltonians

• Systematic overbinding  $\Rightarrow$  Still **deficiencies** 

\* Next step: **Consistent 3N** interaction at N<sup>3</sup>LO

 Single Hamiltonian fixed in A≤4 systems able to qualitatively reproduce the experimental trend of binding energies

#### **Computational Scheme**

Chiral Effective Field Theory



#### Computational Scheme



# Computational Scheme



## **Computing Facilities**



Lichtenberg Cluster TU Darmstadt





**Loewe-CSC** Goethe-Universität Frankfurt

**Edison** National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center

## **Computing Facilities**



Lichtenberg Cluster TU Darmstadt





**Loewe-CSC** Goethe-Universität Frankfurt

**Edison** National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center



#### **Excited States**

#### **Excited States**

 Generate excited states via linear 1p1h and 2p2h excitations on top of the fully correlated Coupled-Cluster ground state

$$|\Psi_{\mu}\rangle = \hat{\mathfrak{R}}_{\mu} |\Psi^{(\mathrm{CCSD})}\rangle$$

$$\hat{\mathfrak{R}}_{\mu} = (r_{\mu})_{0} + \sum_{ai} (r_{\mu})_{i}^{a} \left\{ \hat{a}_{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{i} \right\} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{abij} (r_{\mu})_{ij}^{ab} \left\{ \hat{a}_{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{b}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{j} \hat{a}_{i} \right\}$$

#### **Excited States**

 Generate excited states via linear 1p1h and 2p2h excitations on top of the fully correlated Coupled-Cluster ground state

$$|\Psi_{\mu}\rangle = \hat{\mathfrak{R}}_{\mu} |\Psi^{(\mathrm{CCSD})}\rangle$$

$$\hat{\mathfrak{R}}_{\mu} = (r_{\mu})_{0} + \sum_{ai} (r_{\mu})_{i}^{a} \left\{ \hat{a}_{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{i} \right\} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{abij} (r_{\mu})_{ij}^{ab} \left\{ \hat{a}_{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{b}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{j} \hat{a}_{i} \right\}$$

• Solve **non-Hermitean** eigenvalue problem

$$\left(\hat{\mathcal{H}}^{(\text{CCSD})}\,\hat{\mathfrak{R}}_{\mu}\,\right)_{c}\left|\Phi_{0}\right\rangle = \omega_{\mu}\,\hat{\mathfrak{R}}_{\mu}\left|\Phi_{0}\right\rangle$$
# <sup>24</sup>O Spectrum from Coupled Cluster





# <sup>24</sup>O: Low-Lying Positive-Parity States







# <sup>24</sup>O: Low-Lying Positive-Parity States





 $e_{\rm max}$ 

## Publications

| PRL 107        | 7, 072501 (2011)  | PHYSICAL   | REVIEW  | LETTERS  | week<br>12 AUC   | k ending<br>GUST 2011    |
|----------------|---|--|---|--|--|--------------------------|
| Simila         | Robert Roth, <sup>1,*</sup> Jos                                   | Chiral NN + 3N   | Interactions<br>Angelo Calo                                 | for the Ab Initiation of the I | <b>Description of <sup>12</sup>C a</b><br>and Petr Navrátil <sup>2,3</sup> | nd <sup>16</sup> O       |
| PRL 10         | 9, 052501 (2012)  | PHYSICAL   | REVIEW  | LETTERS  | week<br>3 AUGU   | ending<br>JST 2012       |
|                | Medium-M<br>Robert Roth, "** Sve                                  | fass Nuclei with No<br>n Binder, ' Klaus Vobi  | ormal-Order<br>g,' Angelo Ca                                | red Chiral NN-<br>llci,' Joachim Lan   | + 3N Interactions<br>ghammer, ' and Petr Navi                              | rátil <sup>2</sup>       |
| Ab i<br>S      | nitio calculation<br>ven Binder, <sup>I,*</sup> Joach             | PHYSICAL REVIE<br><b>IS of medium-ma</b><br>iim Langhammer, <sup>1</sup>                                   | W C 87, 021<br>ass nuclei<br>Angelo Calci                   | 303(R) (2013)<br>with explicit (<br><sup>1</sup> Petr Navrátil, <sup>2</sup>   | Schiral 3N interacti<br>and Robert Roth <sup>1</sup>                       | ions                     |
| In-med<br>F    | l <b>ium similarity re</b><br>H. Hergert, <sup>1,*</sup> S. K. Bo | PHYSICAL REVI<br>normalization gro<br>gner, <sup>2</sup> S. Binder, <sup>3</sup> A. C                      | EW C 87, 0343<br>Oup with ch<br>alci, <sup>3</sup> J. Langh | 07 (2013)<br>iral two- plus<br>ammer, <sup>3</sup> R. Roth, <sup>3</sup>   | three-nucleon intera<br>and A. Schwenk <sup>3,4</sup>                      | octions                  |
| PRL 110        | 0, 242501 (2013)  | PHYSICAL   | REVIEW  | LETTERS  | we<br>14 J   | ek ending<br>UNE 2013    |
|                | Ab Initio C<br>H. Hergert,  | alculations of Eve<br>Iwo-Plus-Three-N<br>.** S. Binder, <sup>2</sup> A. Cal                               | n Oxygen I<br>Jucleon Inte<br>ci, <sup>2</sup> J. Langha    | sotopes with C<br>eractions<br>ammer, <sup>2</sup> and R. R  | hiral  |                          |
| Exte<br>Sven B | ension of coupled-c<br>inder, <sup>1,*</sup> Piotr Piecuc         | PHYSICAL REV<br>luster theory with a<br>clusters to thr<br>ch, <sup>2,1</sup> Angelo Calci, <sup>1,1</sup> | /IEW C 88, 0<br>noniterative<br>ee-body Har<br>Joachim Lar  | 54319 (2013)<br>e <b>treatment of c</b><br>niltonians<br>nghammer, <sup>1,§</sup> Petr   | onnected triply excited<br>Navrátil, <sup>3,1</sup> and Robert             | l<br>Roth <sup>1,¶</sup> |
|                | Evolved Chiral N<br>Robert Roth,*                                 | N+3N Hamiltonian<br>Angelo Calci, <sup>†</sup> J   | ns for Ab In<br>oachim Lar                                  | itio Nuclear Str<br>nghammer,‡ an  | ucture Calculations<br>d Sven Binder <sup>§</sup>                          |                          |

Nonperturbative shell-model interactions from the in-medium similarity renormalization group S. K. Bogner,<sup>1,\*</sup> H. Hergert,<sup>2,†</sup> J. D. Holt,<sup>3,4,1,‡</sup> A. Schwenk,<sup>3,4,§</sup>

S. Binder,<sup>4</sup> A. Calci,<sup>4</sup> J. Langhammer,<sup>4</sup> and R. Roth<sup>4</sup> submitted to Phys. Rev. Lett.

#### Ab Initio Path to Heavy Nuclei

Sven Binder,<sup>1,\*</sup> Joachim Langhammer,<sup>1</sup> Angelo Calci,<sup>1</sup> and Robert Roth<sup>1</sup> submitted to Phys. Lett. B

+ Proceedings

## Publications



in-medium similarity renormalization group
S. K. Bogner,<sup>1,\*</sup> H. Hergert,<sup>2,†</sup> J. D. Holt,<sup>3,4,1,‡</sup> A. Schwenk,<sup>3,4,§</sup>
S. Binder,<sup>4</sup> A. Calci,<sup>4</sup> J. Langhammer,<sup>4</sup> and R. Roth<sup>4</sup>
submitted to Phys. Rev. Lett.

#### Ab Initio Path to Heavy Nuclei Sven Binder,<sup>1,\*</sup> Joachim Langhammer,<sup>1</sup> Angelo Calci,<sup>1</sup> and Robert Roth<sup>1</sup> submitted to Phys. Lett. B

+ Proceedings