Ab Initio Calculations of Medium-Mass Nuclei and Normal-Ordered Chiral NN+3N Interactions

Sven Binder Institut für kernphysik



TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

Nuclear Structure

Low-Energy QCD

Nuclear Structure

NN+3N Interaction from Chiral EFT

Low-Energy QCD



forces from chiral EFT with nucleons and pions as DOF

Nuclear Structure



 adapt Hamiltonian to truncated low-energy model space

NN+3N Interaction from Chiral EFT

Low-Energy QCD

body problem for light & inter-

mediate masses (NCSM, CC,...)

heavier nuclei (HF & MBPT,...)

spaces & benefit from unitary



Low-Energy QCD

Similarity Renormalization Group

continuous transformation driving Hamiltonian to band-diagonal form with respect to a chosen basis

• unitary transformation of Hamiltonian (and other observables) $\widetilde{H}_{\alpha} = U_{\alpha}^{\dagger} H U_{\alpha}$

• evolution equations for \widetilde{H}_{α} and U_{α} depending on generator η_{α} $\frac{d}{d\alpha}\widetilde{H}_{\alpha} = [\eta_{\alpha}, \widetilde{H}_{\alpha}] \qquad \qquad \frac{d}{d\alpha}U_{\alpha} = -U_{\alpha}\eta_{\alpha}$

dynamic generator: commutator with the operator in whose eigenbasis H shall be diagonalized

$$\eta_{\alpha} = (2\mu)^2 [T_{int}, \widetilde{H}_{\alpha}]$$

Similarity Renormalization Group



 $\eta_{\alpha} = (2\mu)^2 [T_{int}, \tilde{H}_{\alpha}]$



















Calculations in A-Body Space

• evolution induces *n*-body contributions $\widetilde{H}_{\alpha}^{[n]}$ to Hamiltonian

$$\widetilde{\mathsf{H}}_{\alpha} = \widetilde{\mathsf{H}}_{\alpha}^{[1]} + \widetilde{\mathsf{H}}_{\alpha}^{[2]} + \widetilde{\mathsf{H}}_{\alpha}^{[3]} + \widetilde{\mathsf{H}}_{\alpha}^{[4]} + \dots$$

• truncation of cluster series inevitable — formally destroys unitarity and invariance of energy eigenvalues (independence of α)

Three SRG-Evolved Hamiltonians

- NN only: start with NN initial Hamiltonian and keep two-body terms only
- NN+3N-induced: start with NN initial Hamiltonian and keep twoand induced three-body terms
- NN+3N-full: start with NN+3N initial Hamiltonian and keep twoand all three-body terms

Calculations in A-Body Space

• evolution induces *n*-body contributions $\widetilde{H}_{\alpha}^{[n]}$ to Hamiltonian

$$\widetilde{\mathsf{H}}_{\alpha} = \widetilde{\mathsf{H}}_{\alpha}^{[1]} + \widetilde{\mathsf{H}}_{\alpha}^{[2]} + \widetilde{\mathsf{H}}_{\alpha}^{[3]} + \widetilde{\mathsf{H}}_{\alpha}^{[4]}$$

 truncation of cluster series inevitable and invariance of energy eigenvalues α-variation provides a
diagnostic tool to assess
the contributions of omitted many-body interactions

Three SRG-Evolved Hamiltonians

- NN only: start with NN initial Hamiltonian and keep two-body terms only
- NN+3N-induced: start with NN initial Hamiltonian and keep twoand induced three-body terms
- NN+3N-full: start with NN+3N initial Hamiltonian and keep twoand all three-body terms

Importance-Truncated No-Core Shell Model

Roth, Langhammer, Calci et al. — Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 072501 (2011) Navrátil et al. — Phys. Rev. C 82, 034609 (2010) Roth — Phys. Rev. C 79, 064324 (2009)

Importance Truncated NCSM

NCSM is one of the most powerful and universal exact ab-initio methods

- construct matrix representation of Hamiltonian using a **basis of HO** Slater determinants truncated w.r.t. HO excitation energy $N_{max}\hbar\Omega$
- solve large-scale eigenvalue problem for a few extremal eigenvalues
- all relevant observables can be computed from the eigenstates
- range of applicability limited by **factorial growth** of basis with $N_{max} \& A$
- adaptive importance truncation extends the range of NCSM by reducing the model space to physically relevant states
- we have developed a **parallelized IT-NCSM/NCSM code** capable of handling 3N matrix elements up to $E_{3 max} = 16$



 $\alpha = 0.16 \, {\rm fm}^4$

 $\Lambda = 1.58 \, {\rm fm}^{-1}$

NN only



 $\alpha = 0.04 \,\text{fm}^4 \qquad \alpha = 0.05 \,\text{fm}^4 \qquad \alpha = 0.0625 \,\text{fm}^4 \qquad \alpha = 0.08 \,\text{fm}^4 \qquad \alpha = 0.16 \,\text{fm}^4 \\ \Lambda = 2.24 \,\text{fm}^{-1} \qquad \Lambda = 2.11 \,\text{fm}^{-1} \qquad \Lambda = 2.00 \,\text{fm}^{-1} \qquad \Lambda = 1.88 \,\text{fm}^{-1} \qquad \Lambda = 1.58 \,\text{fm}^{-1}$























Coupled Cluster Method

G. Hagen, T. Papenbrock, D.J. Dean, and M. Hjorth-Jensen — Phys. Rev. C 82, 034330 (2010)

Coupled Cluster Approach

Coupled Cluster Approach

exponential Ansatz for wave operator

$$|\Psi\rangle = \hat{\Omega}|\Phi_0\rangle = e^{\hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2 + \hat{T}_3 + \dots + \hat{T}_A}|\Phi_0\rangle$$
Coupled Cluster Approach

exponential Ansatz for wave operator

$$|\Psi\rangle = \hat{\Omega}|\Phi_0\rangle = e^{\hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2 + \hat{T}_3 + \dots + \hat{T}_A}|\Phi_0\rangle$$

\hat{T}_n : *npnh* excitation ("cluster") operators

$$\hat{T}_n = \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \sum_{\substack{ijk...\\abc...}} t^{abc...}_{ijk...} \{ \hat{a}^{\dagger}_a \hat{a}^{\dagger}_b \hat{a}^{\dagger}_c \dots \hat{a}_k \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_i \}$$

Coupled Cluster Approach

exponential Ansatz for wave operator

$$|\Psi\rangle = \hat{\Omega}|\Phi_0\rangle = e^{\hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2 + \hat{T}_3 + \dots + \hat{T}_A}|\Phi_0\rangle$$

\hat{T}_n : *npnh* excitation ("cluster") operators

$$\hat{T}_n = \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \sum_{\substack{ijk...\\abc...}} t^{abc...}_{ijk...} \{ \hat{a}^{\dagger}_a \hat{a}^{\dagger}_b \hat{a}^{\dagger}_c \dots \hat{a}_k \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_i \}$$

similarity transformed Schrödinger Eq.

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}|\Phi_0\rangle = \Delta E|\Phi_0\rangle , \quad \hat{\mathcal{H}} \equiv e^{-\hat{T}}\hat{H}_N e^{\hat{T}}$$

Coupled Cluster Approach

exponential Ansatz for wave operator

$$|\Psi\rangle = \hat{\Omega}|\Phi_0\rangle = e^{\hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2 + \hat{T}_3 + \dots + \hat{T}_A}|\Phi_0\rangle$$

\hat{T}_n : *npnh* excitation ("cluster") operators

$$\hat{T}_n = \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \sum_{\substack{ijk...\\abc...}} t^{abc...}_{ijk...} \{ \hat{a}^{\dagger}_a \hat{a}^{\dagger}_b \hat{a}^{\dagger}_c \dots \hat{a}_k \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_i \}$$

similarity transformed Schrödinger Eq.

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}|\Phi_0\rangle = \Delta E|\Phi_0\rangle , \quad \hat{\mathcal{H}} \equiv e^{-\hat{T}}\hat{H}_N e^{\hat{T}}$$

\square $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$: non-Hermitian **effective Hamiltonian**

• **CCSD** : truncate \hat{T} at **2p2h** level, $\hat{T} = \hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2$

CCSD : truncate \hat{T} at **2p2h** level, $\hat{T} = \hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2$

- projection of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}|\Phi_0\rangle = \Delta E|\Phi_0\rangle$ onto
 - $\left\{ |\Phi_0\rangle, \quad |\Phi_i^a\rangle \equiv \hat{a}_a^{\dagger} \hat{a}_i |\Phi_0\rangle, \quad |\Phi_{ij}^{ab}\rangle \equiv \hat{a}_a^{\dagger} \hat{a}_b^{\dagger} \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_i |\Phi_0\rangle \right\}$

• **CCSD** : truncate \hat{T} at **2p2h** level, $\hat{T} = \hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2$

• projection of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}|\Phi_0\rangle = \Delta E|\Phi_0\rangle$ onto

$$\left\{ |\Phi_0\rangle, \quad |\Phi_i^a\rangle \equiv \hat{a}_a^{\dagger} \hat{a}_i |\Phi_0\rangle, \quad |\Phi_{ij}^{ab}\rangle \equiv \hat{a}_a^{\dagger} \hat{a}_b^{\dagger} \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_i |\Phi_0\rangle \right\}$$

leads to **CCSD equations**

• $\Delta E = \langle \Phi_0 | \hat{\mathcal{H}} | \Phi_0 \rangle$

• **CCSD** : truncate \hat{T} at **2p2h** level, $\hat{T} = \hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2$

• projection of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}|\Phi_0\rangle = \Delta E|\Phi_0\rangle$ onto

$$\left\{ |\Phi_0\rangle, \quad |\Phi_i^a\rangle \equiv \hat{a}_a^{\dagger} \hat{a}_i |\Phi_0\rangle, \quad |\Phi_{ij}^{ab}\rangle \equiv \hat{a}_a^{\dagger} \hat{a}_b^{\dagger} \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_i |\Phi_0\rangle \right\}$$

- $\Delta E = \langle \Phi_0 | \hat{\mathcal{H}} | \Phi_0 \rangle$
- $0 = \langle \Phi_i^{\alpha} | \hat{\mathcal{H}} | \Phi_0 \rangle$

• **CCSD** : truncate \hat{T} at **2p2h** level, $\hat{T} = \hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2$

• projection of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}|\Phi_0\rangle = \Delta E|\Phi_0\rangle$ onto

$$\left\{ |\Phi_0\rangle, \quad |\Phi_i^a\rangle \equiv \hat{a}_a^{\dagger} \hat{a}_i |\Phi_0\rangle, \quad |\Phi_{ij}^{ab}\rangle \equiv \hat{a}_a^{\dagger} \hat{a}_b^{\dagger} \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_i |\Phi_0\rangle \right\}$$

- $\Delta E = \langle \Phi_0 | \hat{\mathcal{H}} | \Phi_0 \rangle$
- $0 = \langle \Phi_i^a | \hat{\mathcal{H}} | \Phi_0 \rangle$
- $0 = \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \hat{\mathcal{H}} | \Phi_0 \rangle$

• **CCSD** : truncate \hat{T} at **2p2h** level, $\hat{T} = \hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2$

• projection of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}|\Phi_0\rangle = \Delta E|\Phi_0\rangle$ onto

 $\left\{ |\Phi_0\rangle, \quad |\Phi_i^a\rangle \equiv \hat{a}_a^{\dagger} \hat{a}_i |\Phi_0\rangle, \quad |\Phi_{ij}^{ab}\rangle \equiv \hat{a}_a^{\dagger} \hat{a}_b^{\dagger} \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_i |\Phi_0\rangle \right\}$

•
$$\Delta E = \langle \Phi_0 | \hat{\mathcal{H}} | \Phi_0 \rangle = \langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H}_N (\hat{T}_2 + \hat{T}_1 + \frac{1}{2} \hat{T}_1^2) | \Phi_0 \rangle_C$$

• 0 =
$$\langle \Phi_i^a | \hat{\mathcal{H}} | \Phi_0 \rangle = \langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H}_N (1 + \hat{T}_2 + \hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_1 \hat{T}_2 + \frac{1}{2} \hat{T}_1^2 + \frac{1}{3!} \hat{T}_1^3) | \Phi_0 \rangle_C$$

•
$$0 = \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \hat{\mathcal{H}} | \Phi_0 \rangle = \langle \Phi_0 | \hat{\mathcal{H}}_N (1 + \hat{T}_2 + \frac{1}{2} \hat{T}_2^2 + \hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_1 \hat{T}_2 + \frac{1}{2} \hat{T}_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} \hat{T}_1^2 \hat{T}_2 + \frac{1}{3!} \hat{T}_1^3 + \frac{1}{4!} \hat{T}_1^4) | \Phi_0 \rangle_C$$

CCSD : truncate \hat{T} at **2p2h** level, $\hat{T} = \hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2$

• projection of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}|\Phi_0\rangle = \Delta E|\Phi_0\rangle$ onto $\left\{ |\Phi_0\rangle, \quad |\Phi_i^a\rangle \equiv \hat{a}_a^{\dagger}\hat{a}_i|\Phi_0\rangle, \quad |\Phi_{ij}^{ab}\rangle \equiv \hat{a}_a^{\dagger}\hat{a}_b^{\dagger}\hat{a}_j\hat{a}_i|\Phi_0\rangle \right\}$

•
$$\Delta E = \langle \Phi_0 | \hat{\mathcal{H}} | \Phi_0 \rangle = \left(\underbrace{\int}_{-\infty}^{-\infty} + \underbrace{\int}_{+\infty}^{+\infty} + \underbrace{\int}_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \underbrace{\int}_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \right)$$

T_1	:	V
<i>T</i> ₂	:	\bigvee
V	:	≻-≺
F	:	+-◆

• **CCSD** : truncate \hat{T} at **2p2h** level, $\hat{T} = \hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2$

• projection of
$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}|\Phi_{0}\rangle = \Delta E|\Phi_{0}\rangle$$
 onto

$$\begin{cases} |\Phi_{0}\rangle, \quad |\Phi_{i}^{a}\rangle \equiv \hat{a}_{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a}_{i}|\Phi_{0}\rangle, \quad |\Phi_{ij}^{ab}\rangle \equiv \hat{a}_{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a}_{b}^{\dagger}\hat{a}_{j}\hat{a}_{i}|\Phi_{0}\rangle \end{cases} \\ \text{leads to CCSD equations} \\ \bullet \Delta E = \langle \Phi_{0}|\hat{\mathcal{H}}|\Phi_{0}\rangle = \underbrace{\left(\int_{-}^{-} \int_{-}^{+} + \int_{-}^{+} \int_{-}^{-} \int_{-}^{+} \right) \\ \bullet 0 = \langle \Phi_{i}^{a}|\hat{\mathcal{H}}|\Phi_{0}\rangle = \bigvee_{-}^{+} + \bigvee_{-}^{+} + \underbrace{\left(\int_{-}^{-} \int_{-}^{+} \right)} \\ \text{linked diagrams} \\ \text{only} \\ \Rightarrow \text{size extensive} \\ \bullet 0 = \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab}|\hat{\mathcal{H}}|\Phi_{0}\rangle = \bigvee_{-}^{+} + \bigvee_{-}^{+} + \bigvee_{-}^{+} + \bigvee_{-}^{+} + \bigvee_{-}^{-} + \bigvee_{-}^{+} + \bigvee_{-}^{-} + \bigvee_{-}^{+} +$$

\ /

coupling of external lines to good J



etc.

coupling of external lines to good J



etc.

express CCSD equations in terms of

coupling of external lines to good J



etc.

express CCSD equations in terms of

■ ⇒ **drastic reduction** of number of amplitudes











CCSD(HO)

NN only $\hbar\Omega = 20 \,\text{MeV}$





CCSD(HO)

NN only $\hbar\Omega = 20 \,\text{MeV}$





CCSD(HO)

NN only $\hbar \Omega = 20 \,\text{MeV}$





CCSD(HO)

NN only $\hbar\Omega = 20 \,\text{MeV}$













Roth, Binder, Vobig et al. — arXiv: 1112.0287 (2011)

avoid technical challenge of including explicit 3N interactions in many-body calculation

avoid technical challenge of including explicit 3N interactions in many-body calculation

 idea: write 3N interaction in normal-ordered form with respect to an A-body reference Slater-determinant (0ħΩ state)

$$\begin{split} \hat{\mathsf{V}}_{3\mathsf{N}} &= \sum V_{\circ\circ\circ\circ\circ\circ}^{3\mathsf{N}} \, \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_{\circ}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_{\circ}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_{\circ}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_{\circ}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_{\circ}^{\circ} \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_{\circ}^{\circ}$$

avoid technical challenge of including explicit 3N interactions in many-body calculation

 idea: write 3N interaction in normal-ordered form with respect to an A-body reference Slater-determinant (0ħΩ state)

$$\begin{split} V_{3N} &= \sum V_{\circ\circ\circ\circ\circ\circ}^{3N} \hat{a}_{\circ}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\circ}^{\dagger}$$

question: if we neglect the normal-ordered 3B term, how well does this approximation work ?

Benchmark of Normal-Ordered 3N



Benchmark of Normal-Ordered 3N



- compare IT-NCSM results with complete 3N to normalord. 3N truncated at the 2B level
- typical deviations up to 2% for ⁴He and 1% for ¹⁶O


¹⁶O: IT-NCSM vs. Coupled-Cluster

NN-only



¹⁶O: IT-NCSM vs. Coupled-Cluster



¹⁶O: IT-NCSM vs. Coupled-Cluster

NN+3N-full_{NO2B}



¹⁶O: Coupled-Cluster with 3N_{NO2B}



¹⁶O: Coupled-Cluster with 3N_{NO2B}



²⁴O: Coupled-Cluster with 3N_{NO2B}



⁴⁰Ca: Coupled-Cluster with 3N_{NO2B}



⁴⁸Ca: Coupled-Cluster with 3N_{NO2B}



Chiral 3N for Heavy Nuclei



Epilogue

thanks to my group & my collaborators

- A. Calci, B. Erler, E. Gebrerufael, A. Günther, H. Krutsch, J. Langhammer, S. Reinhardt, R. Roth, C. Stumpf, R. Trippel, K. Vobig, R. Wirth Institut für Kernphysik, TU Darmstadt
- P. Navrátil

TRIUMF Vancouver, Canada

- J. Vary, P. Maris Iowa State University, USA
- S. Quaglioni LLNL Livermore, USA
- P. Piecuch Michigan State University, USA

- H. Hergert Ohio State University, USA
- P. Papakonstantinou IPN Orsay, F
- C. Forssén Chalmers University, Sweden
- H. Feldmeier, T. Neff GSI Helmholtzzentrum



Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft

DFG



Score Landes-Offensive zur Entwicklung Wissenschaftlichökonomischer Exzellenz





Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung





COMPUTING TIME

