Nuclear Structure with Similarity-Transformed Chiral NN+3N Interactions

Angelo Calci

Institut für Kernphysik



- Introduction
- Chiral Effective Field Theory (χ EFT)
- Similarity Renormalization Group
- **•** Transformation to \mathcal{J}, T -Coupled Scheme
- Importance Truncated No-Core Shell Model
- Hartree Fock
- Summary and Outlook

Outline

Introduction

- Chiral Effective Field Theory (χEFT)
- Similarity Renormalization Group
- Transformation to J, T-Coupled Scheme
- Importance Truncated No-Core Shell Model
- Hartree Fock
- Summary and Outlook

Introduction

start with many-body eigenvalue problem

 $H_{int} |\Psi_n\rangle = E_n |\Psi_n\rangle$

interact

Hip+ -

body problem

ab-initio methods

- investigation and adjustment of interaction
- reference point for approximative approaches
- make predictions in low-mass regime

observables to experiment

Outline

Introduction

Chiral Effective Field Theory (\chiEFT)

- Similarity Renormalization Group
- Transformation to J, T-Coupled Scheme
- Importance Truncated No-Core Shell Model

Hartree Fock

Summary and Outlook

Chiral Effective Field Theory

- want to obtain an interaction based on QCD as much as possible
- Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD)
 - fundamental theory of the strong interaction
 - uses quarks and gluons as degrees of freedom
 - currently no direct derivation of nuclear interaction (non-perturbative for low-energy regime)
 - effective field theory necessary
- Chiral Effective Field Theory (χ EFT)
 - considers fundamental symmetries of QCD
 - uses **nucleons** and **pions** as degrees of freedom
 - perturbative **expansion** in $\frac{Q}{\Lambda_{\chi}}$

Expansion in Q/Λ_{χ}



provides NN and 3N interactions in a consistent manner

3N Contribution at N²LO



Introduction

Chiral Effective Field Theory (χEFT)

Similarity Renormalization Group

- Transformation to J, T-Coupled Scheme
- Importance Truncated No-Core Shell Model
- Hartree Fock
- Summary and Outlook

Similarity Renormalization Group (SRG)

accelerate convergence by **pre-diagonalizing** the Hamiltonian w.r.t. the many-body basis

continuous unitary transformation of the Hamiltonian

 $\widetilde{H}_{\alpha} = U_{\alpha}^{\dagger} H_{int} U_{\alpha}$

leads to evolution equation

$$\frac{d}{d\alpha}\widetilde{H}_{\alpha} = [\eta_{\alpha}, \widetilde{H}_{\alpha}] \quad \text{with} \quad \eta_{\alpha} = -U_{\alpha}^{\dagger}\frac{dU_{\alpha}}{d\alpha} = -\eta_{\alpha}^{\dagger}$$

initial value problem with $\tilde{H}_{\alpha=0} = H_{int}$

choose the dynamic generator

$$\eta_{\alpha} = (2\mu)^2 [T_{\text{int}}, \widetilde{H}_{\alpha}]$$

advantages of SRG: **simplicity** and **flexibility**

Insertion: Jacobi Coordinates

"relative coordinates" for A-body system

$$\vec{\xi}_0 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{A}} \left[\vec{r}_1 + \vec{r}_2 + \dots + \vec{r}_A \right]$$
$$\vec{\xi}_{n-1} = \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}} \left[\frac{1}{n-1} (\vec{r}_1 + \vec{r}_2 + \dots + \vec{r}_{n-1}) - \vec{r}_n \right] \quad \text{with} \quad 2 \le n \le A$$

• for example A = 3:



$$\vec{\xi}_{0} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} [\vec{r}_{1} + \vec{r}_{2} + \vec{r}_{3}]$$
$$\vec{\xi}_{1} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} [\vec{r}_{1} - \vec{r}_{2}]$$
$$\vec{\xi}_{2} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \left[\frac{1}{2}(\vec{r}_{1} + \vec{r}_{2}) - \vec{r}_{3}\right]$$

Insertion: HO Jacobi Basis

Cartesian HO state

 $|n_1l_1m_1(\vec{r}_1), n_2l_2m_2(\vec{r}_2), n_3l_3m_3(\vec{r}_3)\rangle$

- where l_1 : quantum number w.r.t. $\vec{L}_1 = \vec{r}_1 \times \vec{p}_1$
 - l_2 : quantum number w.r.t. $\vec{L}_2 = \vec{r}_2 \times \vec{p}_2$
 - l_3 : quantum number w.r.t. $\vec{L}_3 = \vec{r}_3 \times \vec{p}_3$
- Jacobi HO state

 $|NLM(\vec{\xi}_0), n_{12}l_{12}m_{12}(\vec{\xi}_1), \mathcal{N}_3\mathcal{L}_3\mathcal{M}_3(\vec{\xi}_2)\rangle$



SRG Evolution in Two-Body Space



SRG Evolution in Two-Body Space



SRG Evolution in Three-Body Space



Angelo Calci – TU Darmstadt – May 2011

SRG Evolution in Three-Body Space



Angelo Calci – TU Darmstadt – May 2011

Consideration of Induced Contributions

SRG induces irreducible many-body contributions

 $\widetilde{\mathsf{H}}_{\alpha}^{\mathsf{NN+3N}} = \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{int}} + \widetilde{\mathsf{T}}_{\mathsf{int},\alpha}^{[2]} + \widetilde{\mathsf{V}}_{\mathsf{NN},\alpha}^{[2]} + \widetilde{\mathsf{T}}_{\mathsf{int},\alpha}^{[3]} + \widetilde{\mathsf{V}}_{\mathsf{NN},\alpha}^{[3]} + \widetilde{\mathsf{V}}_{\mathsf{3N},\alpha}^{[3]} + \widetilde{\mathsf{T}}_{\mathsf{int},\alpha}^{[4]} + \widetilde{\mathsf{V}}_{\mathsf{3N},\alpha}^{[4]} + \widetilde{\mathsf{V}}_{\mathsf{3N},\alpha}^{[4]}$

NN only: start with NN initial Hamiltonian and evolve in two-body space

$$\widetilde{H}_{\alpha}^{\text{NN-only}} = T_{\text{int}} + \widetilde{T}_{\text{int},\alpha}^{[2]} + \widetilde{V}_{\text{NN},\alpha}^{[2]}$$

NN+3N-induced: start with NN initial Hamiltonian and evolve in three-body space

$$\widetilde{H}_{\alpha}^{\text{NN+3N-induced}} = \mathsf{T}_{\text{int}} + \widetilde{\mathsf{T}}_{\text{int}}^{[2]}$$

NN+3N-full: start with NN+3N init. three-body space

 α -variation provides a **diagnostic tool** to assess the contributions of omitted many-body interactions

$$\widetilde{\mathsf{H}}_{\alpha}^{\mathsf{NN}+\mathsf{3N-full}} = \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{int}} + \widetilde{\mathsf{T}}_{\mathsf{int},\alpha}^{[2]} + \widetilde{\mathsf{V}}_{\mathsf{NN},\alpha}^{[2]} + \widetilde{\mathsf{T}}_{\mathsf{int},\alpha}^{[3]} + \widetilde{\mathsf{V}}_{\mathsf{NN},\alpha}^{[3]} + \widetilde{\mathsf{V}}_{\mathsf{3N},\alpha}^{[3]}$$

From Jacobi to \mathcal{J} T-coupled Scheme

effective interaction in 3B-Jacobi basis

1. problem

many-body calculations (A > 6) in Jacobi coordinates not feasible

→ advantageous to use *m*-scheme

2. problem

m-scheme matrix elements become intractable for $N_{max} > 8$ (p-shell)

transformation from Jacobi into \mathcal{JT} -coupled scheme

key for efficient application up to $N_{max} = 14$ for p-shell nuclei

Ab-initio many-body calculation

Outline

Introduction

- Chiral Effective Field Theory (χEFT)
- Similarity Renormalization Group
- Transformation to J, T-Coupled Scheme
- Importance Truncated No-Core Shell Model

Hartree Fock

Summary and Outlook

No-Core Schalenmodell (NCSM)

solving the eigenvalue problem

 $H_{int}|\Psi_n\rangle = E_n|\Psi_n\rangle$

■ many-body basis: Slater determinants $|\Phi_{\nu}\rangle$ composed of harmonic oscillator single-particle states (m-scheme)

$$\left|\Psi_{n}\right\rangle = \sum_{\nu} C_{\nu}^{n} \left|\Phi_{\nu}\right\rangle$$

• model space: spanned by *m*-scheme states $|\Phi_{\nu}\rangle$ with unperturbed excitation energy of up to $N_{max}\hbar\Omega$

problem

enormous increase of model space with particle number A

 \Rightarrow converged calculation limited to small A

00000

• protons

O neutrons

Importance Truncated NCSM

■ start with approximation $|\Psi_{ref}\rangle$ for the **target state** obtained in a limited **reference space** \mathcal{M}_{ref}

$$\left|\Psi_{\text{ref}}\right\rangle = \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{ref}}} C_{\nu}^{(\text{ref})} \left|\Phi_{\nu}\right\rangle$$

■ measure the importance of individual basis state $|\Phi_{\nu}\rangle \notin \mathcal{M}_{ref}$ via first-order multiconfigurational perturbation theory

embed into iterative scheme

■ construct **importance truncated space** $\mathcal{M}(\kappa_{\min})$ spanned by basis states with $|\kappa_{\nu}| \ge \kappa_{\min}$

solve eigenvalue problem in importance truncated space $\mathcal{M}(\kappa_{\min})$ and obtain improved approximation of target state

Importance Truncation: Iterative Scheme

- sequential calculation for a range of $N_{max}\hbar\Omega$ spaces:
 - full NCSM calculation for small N_{max} to obtain initial $|\Psi_{ref}\rangle$
 - construct importance-truncated space with $N_{max} + 2$ of states with $|\kappa_{\nu}| \ge \kappa_{min}$
 - ❷ solve eigenvalue problem
 - Θ use eigenstate as new $|\Psi_{ref}\rangle$
 - goto 0
- **full NCSM space is recovered** in the limit $\kappa_{\min} \rightarrow 0$

Threshold Extrapolation



- do calculations for a sequence of importance thresholds K_{min}
- observables show smooth threshold dependence
- systematic approach to the full NCSM limit
- use a posteriori extrapolation $\kappa_{min} \rightarrow 0$ of observables to account for effect of excluded configurations

⁴He: Ground-State Energies



⁶Li: Ground-State Energies



¹²C: Ground-State Energies



¹⁶O: Ground-State Energies



¹⁶O & ⁴He: Energy vs. Flow Parameter



Angelo Calci – TU Darmstadt – May 2011

⁶Li: Excitation Energies



¹²C: Excitation Energies



Spectroscopy of ¹²C



IT-NCSM gives access to complete spectroscopy of p- and sd-shell nuclei starting from chiral NN+3N interactions

Angelo Calci – TU Darmstadt – May 2011

Spectroscopy of ¹⁶C



IT-NCSM gives access to complete spectroscopy of p- and sd-shell nuclei starting from chiral NN+3N interactions

Angelo Calci – TU Darmstadt – May 2011

Origin of Induced 4N Contributions



■ almost same α dependence for standard LECs and $c_D = 0$ or $c_E = 0$

⇒ two-pion exchange (c_i) term induces significant manybody contributions

 $\alpha = 0.16 \, \text{fm}^4$

 $\Lambda = 1.58 \, \text{fm}^{-1}$

Outline

Introduction

- Chiral Effective Field Theory (χEFT)
- Similarity Renormalization Group
- Transformation to J, T-Coupled Scheme
- Importance Truncated No-Core Shell Model

Hartree Fock

Summary and Outlook













Outline

Introduction

- Chiral Effective Field Theory (χEFT)
- Similarity Renormalization Group
- Transformation to J, T-Coupled Scheme
- Importance Truncated No-Core Shell Model

Hartree Fock

Summary and Outlook

Summary and Outlook

Benchmark of chiral NN+3N interactions

- consistent SRG evolution in 3B space
- efficient transformation of Jacobi matrix elements to *JT*-coupled scheme
 - key for application to N_{max} > 8 calculations (p-shell)
- IT-NCSM with full chiral 3N interactions up to $N_{max} = 12$ (14) for all p-shell (and lower sd-shell) nuclei
- two-pion exchange term of 3N interaction induces significant 4N contributions beyond mid-p-shell
 - ⇒ modify SRG generator to prevent induced 4N contributions from the beginning
- many other applications (Hartree Fock, RPA, ...)

Epilogue

thanks to our group & collaborators

 S. Binder, A. Blum, B. Erler, A. Günther, H. Krutsch, D. Kulawiak, J. Langhammer, P. Papakonstantinou, S. Reinhardt, R. Roth, C. Stumpf, R. Trippel, K. Vobig, R. Wirth Institut für Kernphysik, TU Darmstadt

• P. Navrátil

TRIUMF Vancouver, Canada

we thank Jülich Supercomputing Centre (JSC) & LOEWE-CSC for computing time

Thank you for your attention!



Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft

DFG

HIC for FAIR Helmholtz International Center

Score Landes-Offensive zur Entwicklung Wissenschaftlichökonomischer Exzellenz





Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung