

Structure and Stability of **Trapped Ultracold Fermi Gases** using Effective s- and p-Wave Contact Interactions

R. Roth and H. Feldmeier

Gesellschaft für Schwerionenforschung, Darmstadt, Germany

What is it all about?

Effective Contact Interaction Inhomogeneous Fermi Gases in TFA Stability against Collapse & Separation... Science 285 (1999) 1703, 10 Sept. 1999

Onset of Fermi Degeneracy in a Trapped Atomic Gas

B. DeMarco and D. S. Jin*†

An evaporative cooling strategy that uses a two-component Fermi gas was employed to cool a magnetically trapped gas of 7×10^{5} ⁴⁰K atoms to 0.5 of the Fermi temperature $T_{\rm F}$. In this temperature regime, where the state occupation at the lowest energies has increased from essentially zero at high temperatures to nearly 60 percent, quantum degeneracy was observed as a barrier to evaporative cooling and as a modification of the thermodynamics. Measurements of the momentum distribution of the thermodynamics of the confined Fermi gas directly reveals



Some Facts

- ⁴⁰K has fractional total spin: fermion $F = 4 \pm 1/2 = \frac{9}{2}, \frac{7}{2}$
- magnetic trap: inhomogeneous external magnetic field couples to the magnetic moment of the atoms and builds a trap
- two selected substates are kept in the trap: $|F=\frac{9}{2}, m_F=\frac{9}{2}\rangle \& |F=\frac{9}{2}, m_F=\frac{7}{2}\rangle$
- evaporative cooling: system is cooled by selective removal of high-energy atoms and re-thermalization
- life-time is limited due to collisions with residual room-temperature atoms
- system can be imaged by resonance absorption of light (destructive) or phase-contrast techniques (nearly non-destructive)

What Makes It So Attractive...

a macroscopic system which exhibits quantum properties large composite bosons/fermions meta stable many-body state mean-field is appropriate

BE condensation $T_{\rm BEC} \sim \mu {\rm K}$

all relevant quantities are observable & tunable

size, density, particle number, mass, statistic, composition, temperature, distributions, **interaction strength**...

realization of a dilute Fermi gas

BCS transition $T_{
m BCS} \sim {
m nK}$



Constructing a Proper Effective Interaction

- Short-Range Correlations
- Proper Effective Interaction for Ultracold Dilute Gases
- Effective Contact Interaction

Why Effective Interactions? The Problem: Short-Range Correlations



nuclear matter

liquid ⁴He (bosonic)

 $\rho_0 = 0.17 \, \mathrm{fm}^{-3}$

 $\rho_0 = 0.022 \,\text{\AA}^{-3}$

R. Roth - 09/2000

Why Effective Interactions? The Problem: Short-Range Correlations

Interaction

many realistic two-body interactions show a strong short-range repulsion

(e.g. nucleon-nucleon & van der Waals interactions)

Correlations

core induces strong short-range correlations in many-body state

(e.g. correlation hole in two-body density)

Product States

short-range correlations cannot be described by product-type states

(e.g. mean-field, superposition of few product states,...)

Effective Interaction

replace the full potential by a tamed effective interaction

Correlated States

include correlations in many-body model-space

Effective Contact Interaction ECI

A Proper Effective Interaction...

system is very dilute and cold $\rho^{-1/3} \gg$ range of interaction $q^{-1} \gg$ range of interaction

treat the many-body problem in a restricted model-space that does not contain correlations

looking for the structure of non-selfbound states in an external potential

hermitean interaction operator that obeys standard symmetries (e.g. translation, rotation,...)

Effective Contact Interaction

- zero-range potential (for each partial wave)
- expectation value in two-body model-states equals the energy shift induced by the full interaction

 $\left\langle \phi_{n}^{\text{model}} \middle| \mathbf{v}^{\text{ECI}} \middle| \phi_{n}^{\text{model}} \right\rangle \stackrel{!}{=} \Delta E_{n}$



Effective Contact Interaction I Energy Shift & Phase Shifts

- consider a system of two particles interacting via a potential v(r) of range λ with phase shifts η_l(q)
- relative two-body wave function for $r > \lambda$

$$R_{nl}(r) = A_{nl} \ j_l(q_{nl}r)$$
$$\bar{R}_{nl}(r) = \bar{A}_{nl} \left[j_l(\bar{q}_{nl}r) - \tan \eta_l(\bar{q}_{nl}) n_l(\bar{q}_{nl}r) \right]$$

• auxiliary boundary condition $R_{nl}(\Lambda) = 0$

$$j_l(q_{nl}\Lambda) = 0$$

$$j_l(\bar{q}_{nl}\Lambda) = \tan \eta_l(\bar{q}_{nl}) \ n_l(\bar{q}_{nl}\Lambda)$$

• asymptotic expansion of the Bessel & Neumann function $(q\Lambda \gg l)$; exact for s-wave!

$$q_{nl}\Lambda = \pi(n + \frac{l}{2})$$
$$\bar{q}_{nl}\Lambda = \pi(n + \frac{l}{2}) - \left[\eta_l(\bar{q}_{nl}) - \pi n_{\text{bound}}\right]$$

• momentum shift of the *n*-th positive energy state of the interacting spectrum with respect to the *n*-th free level

$$\Delta q_{nl} \Lambda = (\bar{q}_{nl} - q_{nl}) \Lambda$$
$$= -[\eta_l(q_{nl}) - \pi n_{\text{bound}}] =: -\hat{\eta}_l(q_{nl})$$

► relative energy shift of the *n*-th positive energy level with respect to the *n*-th non-interacting level $(|\Delta q_{nl}/q_{nl}| \ll 1)$

$$\frac{\Delta E_{nl}}{E_{nl}} = -\frac{2}{q_{nl}\Lambda} \,\,\hat{\eta}_l(q_{nl})$$

Effective Contact Interaction II Construction of the Interaction

 \blacktriangleright ansatz for the interaction operator of the *l*-th partial wave

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{\hat{\mathbf{f}}}_{l}^{\text{ECI}} &= \qquad (\vec{\mathbf{q}}\,\vec{\mathbf{n}}_{r})^{l} \ g_{l} \ \frac{\delta(r)}{4\pi\mathbf{r}^{2}} \ (\vec{\mathbf{n}}_{r}\vec{\mathbf{q}})^{l} \\ &= \int \! \mathrm{d}^{3}r \left|\vec{r}\right\rangle \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}^{l}}{\partial r^{l}} \ g_{l} \ \frac{\delta(r)}{4\pi r^{2}} \ \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}^{l}}{\partial r^{l}} \langle \vec{r} | \end{split}$$

• model space: free angular momentum eigenstates

$$\left\langle \vec{r} \middle| nlm \right\rangle = R_{nl}(r) Y_{lm}(\Omega) \qquad R_{nl}(r) \stackrel{q_{nl}r \ll 1}{=} A_{nl} \frac{(q_{nl}r)^l}{(2l+1)!!}$$

• expectation value shall give the energy shift

$$\Delta E_{nl} \stackrel{!}{=} \left\langle nlm \right| \mathbf{v}_l^{\text{ECI}} \left| nlm \right\rangle = \frac{g_l}{4\pi} \left[\frac{l!}{(2l+1)!!} \right]^2 A_{nl}^2 q_{nl}^{2l}$$

► interaction strength as function of phase shifts

$$g_{l} = -\frac{4\pi}{2\mu} \left[\frac{(2l+1)!!}{l!}\right]^{2} \frac{\hat{\eta}_{l}(q)}{q^{2l+1}}$$

► parameterization of the phase shifts $\hat{\eta}_l(q)$ in terms of the scattering length a_l for $|q a_l| \ll 1$

$$g_l \approx \frac{4\pi}{2\mu} \; \frac{(2l+1)}{(l!)^2} \; a_l^{2l+1} \; + \; \mathcal{O}(q^2)$$

Summary The Effective Contact Interaction

Conception

ullet

Realization

- hermitean effective contact interaction that reproduces the exact (low-energy) spectrum within a restricted model space that does not contain two-body correlations
- assuming low density & temperature: $\rho^{-1/3}$ and q^{-1} large compared to the interaction range

$$\mathbf{v}_{l}^{\text{ECI}} = \int d^{3}r \ \left| \vec{r} \right\rangle \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}^{l}}{\partial r^{l}} g_{l} \frac{\delta(r)}{4\pi r^{2}} \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}^{l}}{\partial r^{l}} \langle \vec{r} |$$
$$g_{l} = -\frac{4\pi}{m} \left[\frac{(2l+1)!!}{l!} \right]^{2} \frac{\widehat{\eta}_{l}(q)}{q^{2l+1}} \approx \frac{4\pi}{m} \frac{(2l+1)}{(l!)^{2}} a_{l}^{2l+1}$$

Application

- energy-density for inhomogeneous Fermi gases in Thomas-Fermi approximation including p-wave interactions
- exploration of static properties, density profiles, mean-field instability, component separation,...
- collective excitations, vortex dynamics, cooling process,...

consider a dilute gas of Ξ different fermionic components in an external potential $U(\vec{x})$ at T = 0 K interacting via the s- and p-wave part of the Effective Contact Interaction

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{T} + \mathbf{U} + \mathbf{V}_0^{\text{ECI}} + \mathbf{V}_1^{\text{ECI}}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{v}_{0}^{\text{ECI}} &= \frac{4\pi \, \mathbf{a}_{0}}{m} \, \int \mathrm{d}^{3}r \left| \vec{r} \right\rangle - \frac{\delta(r)}{4\pi r^{2}} \quad \left\langle \vec{r} \right| \\ \mathbf{v}_{1}^{\text{ECI}} &= \frac{12\pi \, \mathbf{a}_{1}^{3}}{m} \int \mathrm{d}^{3}r \left| \vec{r} \right\rangle \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial r} \frac{\delta(r)}{4\pi r^{2}} \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial r} \left\langle \vec{r} \right| \end{split}$$

mean-field states & Thomas-Fermi approximation

energy-density $\mathcal{E}[\kappa_1, ... \kappa_{\Xi}](\vec{x})$ as function of the local Fermi momenta $\kappa_{\xi}(\vec{x})$ of each component

$$\rho_{\xi}(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{6\pi^2} \kappa_{\xi}^3(\vec{x})$$

energy minimization by functional variation of $\kappa_{\xi}(\vec{x})$ with constrained particle numbers N_{ξ}

extremum condition: coupled polynomial equations for the local Fermi momenta of the groundstate



- s-wave contact interaction does not contribute due to the Pauli principle
- leading interaction term is p-wave

- s-wave interaction between particles belonging to different components
- p-wave interaction within and between components



- Effects of p-Wave Interactions
- Density Profiles
- Mean-Field Instability

One-Component Fermi Gas Effect of p-Wave Interactions

Solution Procedure

• energy-density

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}[\kappa](\vec{x}) &= \frac{1}{6\pi^2} U(\vec{x}) \kappa^3(\vec{x}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{20\pi^2 m} \kappa^5(\vec{x}) + \frac{a_1^3}{30\pi^3 m} \kappa^8(\vec{x}) \end{aligned}$$

 functional variation for fixed particle number leads to extremum condition

$$m[\mu - U(\vec{x})] = \frac{1}{2}\kappa^2(\vec{x}) + \frac{8a_1^3}{15\pi}\kappa^5(\vec{x})$$

 $\label{eq:stability} \boldsymbol{ \mathfrak{S} } \ choose \ trapping \ potential, \ e.g. \ harmonic \ trap \ with \ oscillator \ length \ \ell$

$$U(\vec{x}) = \frac{x^2}{2m\ell^4} \qquad \ell = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m\omega}}$$

• groundstate density $\rho(\vec{x})$ is obtained by point-wise solution of the extremum condition for given $\mu(N), a_1/\ell$



example:
$$\begin{array}{c} \ell = 1 \mu m \\ a_1 = 200 \, a_{Bohr} \end{array} \rightarrow a_1 / \ell \approx 0.01 \end{array}$$

One-Component Fermi Gas p-Wave Attraction & Stability

Extremum Condition

$$m[\mu - U(\vec{x})] = \frac{1}{2}\kappa^2(\vec{x}) + \frac{8a_1^3}{15\pi}\kappa^5(\vec{x})$$

• for attractive p-wave interactions the r.h.s. exhibits a maximum at $(\kappa_{crit}, \mu_{crit})$

Stability Conditions

$$\kappa_{\rm crit} = \frac{(3\pi)^{1/3}}{2 |a_1|} \qquad \rho_{\rm crit} = \frac{1}{16\pi |a_1|^3}$$
$$\mu_{\rm crit} = \frac{3(3\pi)^{3/2}}{40m |a_1|^2} \qquad N_{\rm crit} = \frac{(0.445\,\ell)^6}{|a_1|^6}$$

• there is no stable mean-field solution for densites, chemical potentials or particle numbers beyond the critical values



One-Component Fermi Gas Variational Picture of Stability

Variational Ansatz

 trial state is given by the non-interacting Thomas-Fermi solution with classical turning point α as free parameter

$$\kappa(x) = \frac{2\sqrt[3]{6N}}{\alpha}\sqrt{1 - \frac{x^2}{\alpha^2}}$$

• total energy as function of the radius parameter α

$$egin{aligned} E(m{lpha};N,a_1,\ell) &= C_{ ext{trap}} & rac{N\,m{lpha}^2}{\ell} \ &+ C_{ ext{kin}} & rac{N^{5/3}}{m{lpha}^2} \ &+ C_{ ext{p-wave}} & rac{N^{8/3}\,a_1^3}{m{lpha}^5} \end{aligned}$$

• minimization of the total energy gives (metastable) groundstate of the system

Mean-Field Collapse



- beyond a critical strength of the attractive interaction the local minimum vanishes
- only the absolute groundstate at very small radii, i.e. very high densities, remains



- Interplay Between s- and p-Wave Interaction
- Mean-Field Instability
- p-Wave Stabilized High-Density Phase
- Component Separation

Two-Component Fermi Gas Extremum Condition & Stability

Extremum Condition

$$m[\mu - U(\vec{x})] = \frac{1}{2}\kappa^2(\vec{x}) + \frac{2a_0}{3\pi}\kappa^3(\vec{x}) + \frac{8a_1^3}{15\pi}\kappa^5(\vec{x})$$

(for simplicity same $[\mu - U(\vec{x})]$ and same $\kappa(\vec{x})$ for both components assumed)

Stability Conditions

$$-a_0 \kappa_{\rm crit} - 2(a_1 \kappa_{\rm crit})^3 = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

- collapse may occur if one of the scattering lengths is negative
- p-wave repulsion prevents collapse due to s-wave attraction if

$$\frac{a_1}{|a_0|} > \frac{2}{3\pi^{2/3}} \approx 0.31$$

• even a strong s-wave repulsion cannot stabilize the collapse due to p-wave attraction



Two-Component Fermi Gas Critical Particle Number for Collapse

- assume harmonic trap with average oscillator length $\ell = \sqrt[3]{\ell_x \ell_y \ell_z}$
- solve extremum condition for the critical chemical potential and calculate N_{crit}



Two-Component Fermi Gas Stability Map for Collapse



Two-Component Fermi Gas Feshbach Resonances in ⁴⁰K

s- and p-Wave Feshbach Resonances

- two-component ⁴⁰K system with hyperfine states $F = \frac{9}{2}, m_F = -\frac{9}{2}, -\frac{7}{2}$
- simultaneous s- and p-wave Feshbach resonance theoretically predicted



Exploring the Stability Map

- by changing the strength of the magnetic field one explores nearly the whole stability map
- s- and p-wave interactions have the same importance!



Two-Component Fermi Gas p-Wave Stabilized High-Density Phase

Extremum Condition: $a_0 < 0, a_1 > 0$

 $|a_1/|a_0| < \frac{2}{3\pi^{2/3}} \approx 0.31$

 r.h.s. of the extremum condition shows separated low- and high-density branches

$$a_1/|a_0| > \sqrt[3]{\frac{160}{729\pi^2}} \approx 0.28$$

► no self-bound solutions on the high-density branch ($\mu_{\min} > 0$)

Solution Structure

 $[\mu - U(\vec{x})]$

- $< \mu_{\min}$ only low-density solution exists
- $\mu_{\min} \dots \mu_{trans}$ low- and high-density solution exist; low-density is energetically favored
- $\mu_{\text{trans}} \dots \mu_{\text{crit}}$ both solutions exist; high-density is energetically favored, low-density is metastable
 - $> \mu_{\rm crit}$ only high-density solution exists



Two-Component Fermi Gas High-Density Phase: "Gedankenexperiment"

"Experimental Setup"

- two-component Fermi gas in a spherical trap with oscillator length ℓ
- fixed particle number $N_1 = N_2 = 60000$
- increase the strength of the attractive s-wave interaction adiabatically and keep the repulsive p-wave fixed...





Two-Component Fermi Gas Component Separation

Energy-Density

$$\mathcal{E}[\kappa_1,\kappa_2](\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{6\pi^2} [U_1(\vec{x}) \,\kappa_1^3(\vec{x}) + U_2(\vec{x}) \,\kappa_2^3(\vec{x})] + \frac{1}{20\pi^2 m} [\kappa_1^5(\vec{x}) + \kappa_2^5(\vec{x})] + \frac{a_0}{9\pi^3 m} \kappa_1^3(\vec{x}) \kappa_2^3(\vec{x}) + \frac{a_1^3}{30\pi^3 m} [\kappa_1^8(\vec{x}) + \kappa_2^8(\vec{x}) + \frac{1}{2}\kappa_1^3(\vec{x}) \kappa_2^5(\vec{x}) + \frac{1}{2}\kappa_1^5(\vec{x}) \kappa_2^3(\vec{x})]$$

• for repulsive s-wave (p-wave) interactions it may be energetically favorable to separate both components spatially

Overlapping Configuration

$$\kappa_1(\vec{x}) \equiv \kappa_2(\vec{x})$$

 s- and p-wave interaction terms contribute Separated Configuration

$$\kappa_1(\vec{x})\kappa_2(\vec{x}) \equiv 0$$

 s-wave interaction does not contribute at all



Two-Component Fermi Gas Critical Particle Number for Separation



Two-Component Fermi Gas Stability Map for Component Separation



Two-Component Fermi Gas "Phase Diagrams"



Trapped Ultracold Fermi Gases Summary

- derived an Effective Contact Interaction that reproduces the two-body spectrum
- used s- and p-wave terms to set up the energy-density of an inhomogeneous Fermi gas in Thomas-Fermi approximation
- investigated the effects of s- and p-wave interactions on the structure and stability of one- and two-component systems

Results



- attractive p-wave interactions limit the particle number/ density of the one-component system (s-wave interactions do not contribute)
- complex interplay between s- and p-wave interactions with respect to collapse and component separation in the two-component system
 - novel phenomena: absolute stabilization due to p-wave repulsion, p-wave stabilized high-density phase
- ► do not neglect p-wave interactions from the outset!

Strategy