### **Global theories of nuclear structure**

G.F. Bertsch University of Washington

> Hirschegg Jan. 20, 2012

I. HFB theory and its extensions

2. CEA/DAM survey of ground state properties and even-parity excited states

J-P. Delaroche, M. Girod, J. Libert, H. Goutte, S. Hilaire, S. Peru, N. Pillet, and G.F. Bertsch, Phys. Rev. C 81 014303 (2010)

Other related work: D.Vretenar P.-G. Reinhard M. Bender, P.-H. Heenen

## From model to theory

Characteristics of good theories

-need only a small set of parameters-have wide predictive power-have intrinsic criteria for limits of validity

Goals in this work

-apply theory globally (but with cuts generated by internal criteria)
-quantitative assessment of performance
-for theorists: report weaknesses as well as strengths
-for experimenters: predictions to be tested

### The Hamiltonian

We would like to have an effective Hamiltonian:

 $H = ta^{\dagger}a + v^{(2)}a^{\dagger}a^{\dagger}aa + v^{(3)}a^{\dagger}a^{\dagger}a^{\dagger}aaa$ 

but all we actually have to work with is an energy functional:

 $H = ta^{\dagger}a + v^{(2)}(r - r')a_{r}^{\dagger}a_{r'}^{\dagger}a_{r'}a_{r} + t_{3}\rho(r)^{1/3}v^{(3)}a_{r}^{\dagger}a_{r}^{\dagger}a_{r}a_{r}a_{r}$ 

#### Self-consistent Mean-Field Theory

See the textbook (Ring and Schuck, 1980).

#### Example of Pb-208

<sup>208</sup> Pb energy	Ska	D1S
Kinetic	3863	3920
Coulomb direct/exchange	831/-31	832/-31
Spin-orbit	-97	-105
Central 2B	-12480	-12783
$t_3$	6274	6530
Total	-1640	-1637



## The CEA/DAM global survey of even-even spectroscopy

Mapped collective Hamiltonian method Gogny DIS interaction: CPC 63 (1991), 13 parameters

Computed spectroscopic observables for 1712 nuclei:

-yrast energies up to J=6

-excited 0+, first and second yrare J=2

- -B(E2) values for many of the transitions
- -E0 matrix elements

-deformations, including triaxiality





## **Transition strengths**



 $B(E2)_{theory} = (1.20 \pm 45\%) \times B(E2)_{exp}$ 



FIG. 12. (a) Histogram of experimental  $R_{42}$  ratios, Eq. (26), for 501 even-even nuclei, with data from Ref. [24]. (b) Histogram of calculated  $R_{42}$  ratios for 1609 even-even nuclei calculated in the CHFB+5DCH theory.

$$\frac{R_{42}(theory)}{R_{42}(exp.)} = 1.03 \pm 0.15$$

## Predictive power for deformed nuclei

$$E_{theory} = (1.00 \pm 11\%) \times E_{exp}$$
 95 nuclei

$$B(E2)_{theory} = (1.10 \pm 14\%) \times B(E2)_{exp}$$
 59 nuclei

## Charge radii



Experimental data from Angeli, ADNDT 87 (2004)



TABLE II. Comparison of calculated charge radii with experiment:  $\bar{\epsilon}$  is the mean of  $\epsilon$  [see Eq. (18)];  $\sigma$  is its rms dispersion about the average. Three hundred thirteen nuclear radii were included in the comparison as in Fig. 6. In the column "HFB (new)" we use the modern value  $r_p = 0.875$  fm for the proton charge radius [48].

Theory	Ŗ	σ		
HFB	0.001	0.006		
HFB (new)	0.005	0.007		
CHFB+5DCH	0.006	0.007		
Finite surface	0.0000	0.012		

"Mutually enhanced magicity" See Lunney, et al. RMP 75 (2003)





FIG. 2. Two-proton gaps, Eq. (3), for Pb and Sn isotopic chains. Theoretical curves are the following: spherical mean field (short dashed lines); mean field allowing for static deformations (long dashed lines); present theory (solid lines). Experimental values [1] are shown as diamonds.

r-Process nucleosynthesis

Arcones and Martinez-Pinedo, PRC 83





## LETTER

### Nature 469 68 (2011)

doi:10.1038/nature09644

## Evidence for a spin-aligned neutron-proton paired phase from the level structure of <sup>92</sup>Pd

B. Cederwall<sup>1</sup>, F. Ghazi Moradi<sup>3</sup>, T. Bäck<sup>1</sup>, A. Johnson<sup>1</sup>, J. Blomqvist<sup>1</sup>, E. Clément<sup>2</sup>, G. de France<sup>2</sup>, R. Wadsworth<sup>3</sup>, K. Andgren<sup>1</sup>, K. Lagergren<sup>1,4</sup>, A. Dijon<sup>2</sup>, G. Jaworski<sup>5,6</sup>, R. Liotta<sup>1</sup>, C. Qi<sup>1</sup>, B. M. Nyako<sup>7</sup>, J. Nyberg<sup>8</sup>, M. Palacz<sup>5</sup>, H. Al-Azri<sup>3</sup>, A. Algora<sup>9</sup>, G. de Angelis<sup>10</sup>, A. Ataç<sup>11</sup>, S. Bhattacharyya<sup>2</sup>†, T. Brock<sup>3</sup>, J. R. Brown<sup>3</sup>, P. Davies<sup>3</sup>, A. Di Nitto<sup>12</sup>, Zs. Dombrádi<sup>7</sup>, A. Gadea<sup>9</sup>, J. Gál<sup>7</sup>, B. Hadinia<sup>1</sup>, F. Johnston-Theasby<sup>4</sup>, P. Joshi<sup>3</sup>, K. Juhász<sup>13</sup>, R. Julin<sup>14</sup>, A. Jungclaus<sup>15</sup>, G. Kalinka<sup>7</sup>, S. O. Kara<sup>11</sup>, A. Khaplanov<sup>4</sup>, J. Kownacki<sup>5</sup>, G. La Rana<sup>12</sup>, S. M. Lenzi<sup>16</sup>, J. Molnár<sup>7</sup>, R. Moro<sup>12</sup>, D. R. Napoli<sup>10</sup>, B. S. Nara Singh<sup>3</sup>, A. Persson<sup>1</sup>, F. Recchia<sup>16</sup>, M. Sandzelius<sup>17</sup>, J. N. Scheurer<sup>17</sup>, G. Sletten<sup>18</sup>, D. Sohler<sup>2</sup>, P.-A. Söderström<sup>8</sup>, M. J. Taylor<sup>3</sup>, J. Timár<sup>7</sup>, J. J. Valiente–Dobón<sup>10</sup>, E. Vardaci<sup>12</sup> & S. Williams<sup>19</sup>





Phys. Rev. C 81, 014303 (2010) [23 pages]

# Structure of even-even nuclei using a mapped collective Hamiltonian and the D1S Gogny interaction



Phys. Rev. C 81, 014303 (2010) [23 pages]

# Structure of even-even nuclei using a mapped collective Hamiltonian and the D1S Gogny interaction





•	•	•				:					
\varTheta \varTheta 🔿 Mozilla Firefox								irefox			
	(http://prc.aps.org.offcampus.lib.washington.edu/epaps/PRC/v81/i1/e014303/5dch.								.txt		
	Bertso	h Boo	okmarks APS pubs	french-englis	h Physics	-EJournals	5DCH				
1	🗋 h	ttp://p	rc.aps.oe014303/5d	lch.txt +	J						
	44	102	-1001.112	0.290	24.	4.84	4.81	5.32	-1004.872	-3.760	
	46	42	-699.649	0.000	0.	4.37	4.31	4.19	-701.419	-1.770	(
•	46	44	-730.992	0.000	0.	4.37	4.32	4.22	-733.013	-2.021	(
	46	46	-761.066	0.106	0.	4.38	4.33	4.26	-763.027	-1.961	(
	46	48	-789.873	0.000	0.	4.38	4.33	4.28	-790.963	-1.090	(
	46	50	-817.521	0.000	0.	4.38	4.33	4.30	-816.719	0.802	(
	46	52	-836.390	0.000	0.	4.40	4.35	4.34	-838.888	-2.498	(

How to do GCM H = the many-body Hamiltonian usually approximated by an EDF  $\hat{Q}_i = \alpha \text{ set of one-body operators}$ Minimize < 4, 1 H- =>, Q, 14,> to find 4, I) find expectation values q:= <4, 1Q: 14,>  $I\!I$  $V(q) \equiv V(\lambda(q)) = \langle \psi_{\lambda} | \hat{H} | \psi_{\lambda} \rangle$ This is the potential energy surface.  $N, Z, rY, r^2Y_{212}, J$ 5DCH work: octupole study: N.Z. r2/20. r3/20

Sum rule

$$S = \sum_{i} E(2_{i}^{+})B(E2;0_{1}^{+} \to 2_{i}^{+}) = \frac{25}{4\pi} (\frac{\hbar^{2}}{m})Z^{2} \langle r^{2} \rangle$$

The fraction of the sum rule in the lowest excitation is ~10%.



