

# Nucleosynthesis beyond iron and nuclear masses





#### Almudena Arcones Feodor Lynen Fellow, Basel University



#### r-process

Rapid neutron capture compared to beta decay



#### r-process



#### Ultra metal-poor stars = very old stars

Their atmospheres show fingerprints of only few nucleosynthesis events that enriched the interstellar medium.

Abundances of r-process elements in:

- ultra metal-poor stars and

- solar system

Two components or sites:

- robust r-process for 56<Z<83
- scatter for lighter heavy elements  $Z\sim40$





#### Where does the r-process occur?

#### Core-collapse supernovae



#### Neutron star mergers



neutrino-driven wind (Woosley et al. 1994):

proton rich (Fischer et al. 2010, Hüdepohl et al. 2010)
entropy too low (Woosley et al. 1994 → Roberts et al. 2010)
→ multidimensional effects,

neutrino collective oscillations, ...?

Right conditions for a successful r-process (Freiburghaus et al. 1999, ..., Goriely et al. 2011)

They do not occur early enough to explain UMP star abundances (Qian 2000, Argast et al. 2004)

#### Nucleosynthesis in neutrino-driven winds



Production of heavy elements (A>130) requires high neutron-to-seed ratio  $(Y_n/Y_{seed} \sim 100)$ .

Necessary conditions for the r-process:

- fast expansion: inhibits the alphaprocess and thus the formation of seed nuclei
- neutron rich ejecta:  $Y_e < 0.5$
- high entropy is equivalent to high photon-to-baryon ratio. Photons dissociate seed nuclei into nucleons.

(Meyer et al. 1992, Hoffman et al. 1997, Otsuki et al. 2000, Thompson et al. 2001...)

## Nucleosynthesis in neutrino-driven winds

Otsuki et al. 2000 3rd peak 2.0Mo **1.7M**⊙ 1.4Mo **1.2M**⊙ S[k] L=10<sup>50</sup>ergs/s  $L=10^{52}$ L=9x10 L=10<sup>51</sup> L=5x10<sup>51</sup>  $L=7 \times 10^{51}$  $\tau_{dyn}$ [sec]

Necessary conditions identified by steady-state models (e.g. Otsuki et al. 2000, Thompson et al. 2001) are not realized in recent simulations (Arcones et al. 2007, Fischer et al. 2010, Hüdepohl et al. 2010, Roberts et al. 2010) Production of heavy elements (A>130) requires high neutron-to-seed ratio  $(\Upsilon_n/\Upsilon_{seed} \sim 100)$ .

**Necessary conditions for the r-process:** 

- fast expansion: inhibits the alphaprocess and thus the formation of seed nuclei
- neutron rich ejecta: Y<sub>e</sub><0.5
- high entropy is equivalent to high photon-to-baryon ratio. Photons dissociate seed nuclei into nucleons.

(Meyer et al. 1992, Hoffman et al. 1997, Otsuki et al. 2000, Thompson et al. 2001...)

# Core-collapse supernova simulations



Long-time hydrodynamical simulations:

- ejecta evolution from ~5ms after bounce to ~3s in 2D (Arcones & Janka 2011) and ~10s in 1D (Arcones et al. 2007)
- explosion triggered by neutrinos
- detailed study of nucleosynthesis-relevant conditions



### ID simulations for nucleosynthesis studies

Arcones et al 2007



#### ID simulations for nucleosynthesis studies



Sneden, Cowan, Gallino 2008

# LEPP: Lighter Element Primary Process

Ultra metal-poor stars with high and low enrichment of heavy r-process nuclei suggest: two components or sites (Qian & Wasserburg, 2001, Travaglio et al. 2004)

Can the LEPP pattern be produced in neutrino-driven wind simulations?



# LEPP: Lighter Element Primary Process

Ultra metal-poor stars with high and low enrichment of heavy r-process nuclei suggest: two components or sites (Qian & Wasserburg, 2001, Travaglio et al. 2004)



Arcones & Montes, 2011

#### r-process and extreme neutron-rich nuclei



#### r-process: long-time evolution and reverse shock

We use one trajectory from our hydrodynamical simulations with entropy increased by factor two.

Vary the long-time evolution:

- reverse shock at IGK
- no reverse shock



Arcones & Martinez-Pinedo, 2011

#### r-process: long-time evolution and reverse shock

We use one trajectory from our hydrodynamical simulations with entropy increased by factor two.

Vary the long-time evolution:

- reverse shock at IGK
- no reverse shock



Arcones & Martinez-Pinedo, 2011

#### r-process: long-time evolution and reverse shock

We use one trajectory from our hydrodynamical simulations with entropy increased by factor two.

Vary the long-time evolution:

- reverse shock at IGK
- no reverse shock



Arcones & Martinez-Pinedo, 2011

#### Long-time evolution: high vs. low temperature



Clayton 1965, Kratz et al. 1993).

## Long-time evolution: high vs. low temperature



Final abundances are strongly affected by neutron captures and beta decays that compete when matter moves back to stability.

#### Sensitivity to mass models

Compare four different mass models:

- FRDM (Möller et al. 1995)
- ETFSI-Q (Pearson et al. 1996)
- HFB-17 (Goriely et al. 2009)
- Duflo&Zuker mass formula

two cases:  $(n,\gamma)$ - $(\gamma,n)$  equilibrium and non-equilibrium.

The nuclear physics input affects the final abundances differently depending on the long-time dynamical evolution.

Can we link the behavior of the masses (neutron separation energies) to the final r-process abundances?



#### Two neutron separation energy



#### Two neutron separation energy



#### Two neutron separation energy



#### Aspects of different mass models



#### Structure of even-even nuclei using a mapped collective Hamiltonian and the D1S Gogny interaction

J.-P. Delaroche,<sup>1,\*</sup> M. Girod,<sup>1</sup> J. Libert,<sup>2</sup> H. Goutte,<sup>1</sup> S. Hilaire,<sup>1</sup> S. Péru,<sup>1</sup> N. Pillet,<sup>1</sup> and G. F. Bertsch<sup>3,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CEA, DAM, DIF, F-91297 Arpajon, France <sup>2</sup>Institut de Physique Nucléaire IN2P3-CNRS/Université Paris-Sud, 91406 Orsay Cedex, France <sup>3</sup>Department of Physics and Institute of Nuclear Theory, Box 351560, University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98915, USA



#### Nuclear correlations and r-process

(Arcones & Bertsch, arXiv:1111.4923)



Α

#### Decay to stability

Abundances at freeze-out  $(Y_n/Y_{seed}=I)$ : odd-even effects

Final abundances are smoother like solar abundances.

Why does the abundance pattern change?

Classical r-process (waiting point approximation): beta-delayed neutron emission (Kodama & Takahashi 1973, Kratz et al. 1993)

Dynamical r-process: neutron capture and beta-delayed neutron emission (Surman et al. 1997, Surman & Engel 2001, Surman et al. 2009, Buen et al. 2009, Mumpower et al. 2011)



#### Neutron captures and beta-delayed neutron emission



We compare final abundances with and without beta-delayed neutron emission and with and without neutron captures after freeze-out.

#### Neutron captures and beta-delayed neutron emission



We compare final abundances with and without beta-delayed neutron emission and with and without neutron captures after freeze-out.

The main role of the beta-delayed neutron emission is to supply neutrons.



Arcones & Martinez-Pinedo, 2011

#### Neutron captures and beta-delayed neutron emission



We compare final abundances with and without beta-delayed neutron emission and with and without neutron captures after freeze-out.

The main role of the beta-delayed neutron emission is to supply neutrons.



Arcones & Martinez-Pinedo, 2011

# Neutron captures

Compare neutron capture calculations:

- -NON-SMOKER (Rauscher & Thielemann, 2000)
- Approximation (Woosley, Fowler et al. 1975)



# Neutron captures

Compare neutron capture calculations:

- NON-SMOKER (Rauscher & Thielemann, 2000)
- Approximation (Woosley, Fowler et al. 1975)



#### Neutron capture probability:





# Conclusions



Where is the r-process? Not found in recent supernova simulations



Long-time evolution and nuclear masses have big impact



Nuclear correlations: masses in transition regions from deformed to spherical → trough before 3<sup>rd</sup> peak

Decay to stability: beta-delayed neutron emission and neutron captures still change abundances