Jet-Medium Interactions at NLO With Jacopo Ghiglieri, Derek Teaney

- Reminder: jets in a Heavy Ion environment
- The ways a jet can interact with a medium
- The power of light-like propagation
- The power of analyticity
- Results and conclusions

"Why did you move from Montréal to Darmstadt?"



Hirschegg, 22 Jan 2016: page 2 of 27





Hirschegg, 22 Jan 2016: page 3 of 27

Nucleus as seen at high energy



Lots of soft low-energy partons, mostly gluons,

a few hard high-energy partons, mostly quarks.

Low-energy also easier to scatter.

Hard partons and Collisions



Collision: soft partons scatter and form a medium.

Most hard partons fly through, but a few scatter with large transfer and become jets-to-be.

Croutons in the Quark-Gluon Soup

If the Scattering were in Vacuum



Propagators are off-shell. Breaks into fragments, which fragment further. Coord-space Distance for a propagator is $\sim 1/\Delta q^0 \simeq q^0/Q^2$ At end, fragments hadronize. Occurs when virtuality $Q^2 \sim \Lambda_{QCD}^2$ Distance E/Λ_{QCD}^2 , 10's of Fermi for > 50 GeV jet

Jet formation in medium



Each component scatters with medium. This "keeps them off-shell" Allows more, larger- Q^2 splittings. Jet fragments more, fragments also fragment and scatter with medium. Softest fragments get lost in the medium.

3 Medium Effects



Induced splitting Hard scattering Identity change

Hirschegg, 22 Jan 2016: page 8 of 27

Splitting



Probably main effect:

high-energy, small opening-angle splitting.

Clothed with lots of soft medium scatterings (not shown)

- Need medium interaction p_{\perp} exchange (how often how much)
- Splitting process itself formation time, geometry, interference with vacuum process, overlapping emissions? Large active literature

I focus on medium interaction

Hard scattering



Most important for large Q^2 exchange. Should be perturbative (safe). But rate goes as $\int dQ^2/Q^4$. Soft exchanges just as important as hard. Coupling stronger. medium effects important. Must address IR end!!

Define
$$\hat{q}_L = \int d^4 Q \frac{d\Gamma}{d^4 Q} q_z^2$$
, $\hat{q} = \int d^4 Q \frac{d\Gamma}{d^4 Q} q_\perp^2$

Hirschegg, 22 Jan 2016: page 10 of 27

Relating these Effects

To show the relation between these effects, look at the vertex the hard particle attaches to:

My hard quark has a vertex with a gluon. Define incoming momentum P, choose it as z-axis. gluon's momentum Q: describe in terms of q_{\perp} and q^0 (really q^-)



Hard scattering: large q_{\perp} , q^0 . Splitting: small q_{\perp} , large q^0 . Identity change: $q^0 \simeq p^0$, q_{\perp} small.

Hirschegg, 22 Jan 2016: page 11 of 27

All effects in One Picture



Hirschegg, 22 Jan 2016: page 12 of 27

Leading-order Calculation Requires:



Collinear: transverse scattering strength *and* resummation of many scatterings.

Hirschegg, 22 Jan 2016: page 13 of 27

Assumptions I made

- Assumed that these processes are *distinct*
- Assumed that interaction with medium is *perturbative*
- I will also assume that the medium is *thermal* and *perturbative*.

All these are questionable. I will stick with perturbative assuption, as a framework. To test it I must go to NLO – where the first assumption will *fail*.

Why NLO is a challenge!

Basic scattering happens every $1/g^2T$ time, and lasts 1/gT.



O(g) correction: two scatterings can overlap. Many processes enter in the interference!!!

00000

Oh-and everything in sight is HTL resummed!

Hirschegg, 22 Jan 2016: page 15 of 27

Heavy quarks near rest

Years ago Simon Caron-Huot and I did the NLO calculations for heavy quark diffusion, including these overlapping-collision processes.

- At the time, argued that this was structurally the *simplest* of all transport processes
- Calculation was just as awful as it sounds
- Required new advances in HTL pert. theory
- Large corrections.



Perturbation theory is a **DISASTER!**

For this quantity.

Why heavy quarks were hard



Hirschegg, 22 Jan 2016: page 18 of 27

Why light fast things are easier



Particle takes lightlike path. Correlation between two medium-interactions can only arise because medium was already correlated at those points. Only explores **PRE-EXISTING** correlations in plasma.

Remarkably, light-separated correlators essentially *the same* as equal-time correlators (!!!)

Causality in Field Theory

Spacetime: operators at spacelike-separated *and if* $m \neq 0$, *light-like separated* positions (anti)commute.

K-**space:** Retarded correlation functions, in terms of k^0 or any energy-like *and if* $m \neq 0$, *null* variable, are analytical in the upper half-plane.

Light-like propagation: exploit this analyticity.

Hirschegg, 22 Jan 2016: page 20 of 27

Identity change



Call incoming 4-momentum P, outgoing P + Q. Both on-shell. So for small Q, $q^+ = 0$. Which ensures q^- is light-like So I can use analyticity tricks in computing $\int dq^-$

Identity change

Organize as $\int d^2 q_{\perp} \int dq^- |\mathcal{M}|^2$



 q^- lightlike. Deform contour! Now q^- is large–expand. $|\mathcal{M}|^2 = A + \frac{B}{q^-} + \mathcal{O}(q_-^{-2})$ A subtracted in matching B dominates. Physical interpretation: correction to hard dispersion.

Identity change

Leading-order result turns out to be simple:

$$\Gamma_{q \to g}^{\text{conv}}(p) = \frac{g^2 C_F}{4p} \int \frac{d^2 q_\perp}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{m_Q^2}{q_\perp^2 + m_Q^2}$$

 m_Q^2 is large-*p* correction to dispersion. Known numerically since 1991 but not understood. NLO is just:

$$\Gamma_{q \to g}^{\text{conv}}(p) = \frac{g^2 C_F}{4p} \int \frac{d^2 q_\perp}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{m_{Q,\text{NLO}}^2}{q_\perp^2 + m_{Q,\text{NLO}}^2}$$

Hirschegg, 22 Jan 2016: page 23 of 27

Longitudinal Momentum Diffusion

Effect of many small scatterings: shift around energy. Consider LO and NLO scattering processes:



Leading

Various NLO

Label incoming, outgoing P and P + Q again. Both on-shell. For small Q, $q^+ = 0$ Analyticity opportunities the same as for identity-change!

Longitudinal momentum diffusion

Mean-squared momentum exchange per unit time:

$$\hat{q}_L = g^2 C_F T \int \frac{d^2 q_\perp}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{m_G^2}{q_\perp^2 + m_G^2}$$

with m_G^2 the gluonic dispersion correction ($m_G^2 = m_D^2/2$). At NLO, no surprise:

$$\hat{q}_L = g^2 C_F T \int \frac{d^2 q_\perp}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{m_{G,\text{NLO}}^2}{q_\perp^2 + m_{G,\text{NLO}}^2}$$

Hirschegg, 22 Jan 2016: page 25 of 27

Transverse-momentum diffusion

Need differentially: $C(q_{\perp}) =$ differential rate to exchange transverse-momentum q_{\perp} with medium.

$$\hat{q} \equiv \int \frac{d^2 q_\perp}{(2\pi)^2} q_\perp^2 C(q_\perp)$$

NLO form of $C(q_{\perp})$ found in 2008 by Caron-Huot. Also used lightlike-propagation tricks. Written in terms of light-like Wilson loops... Possibility of nonperturbative lattice determination!

Photon production

All results available for jet modification. Phenomenological study not yet complete. Same tools also work for photons:



Hirschegg, 22 Jan 2016: page 27 of 27

Conclusions

- Jet particles experience medium at speed of light.
- Particle does not see its own influence on the medium;
 Only see pre-existing perturbations huge simplification
- Analyticity gives strikingly simple results for jet-medium interaction. Longitudinal diffusion / number change related to gluon / quark medium-dispersion corrections.
- Perturbative expansion not *that* bad: NLO only $\sim 100\%$ corrections compared to leading order for $\alpha_{\rm s} \sim 0.3$