Resonances and Continuum in ^{12}C

Thomas Neff

International Workshop XLIII on Gross Properties of Nuclei and Nuclear Excitations

Hirschegg, Kleinwalsertal, Austria
January 11-17, 2015

GSI theory

HELMHOLTZ GEMEINSCHAFT

Overview

Realistic Effective Nucleon-Nucleon interaction:

Unitary Correlation Operator Method

Many-Body Approach:

Fermionic Molecular Dynamics

Application:

^{3}He(α,γ)^{7}Be Radiative Capture

Microscopic Cluster Model for ^{12}C

- **three α and ^{8}Be-α configurations**
- **Coulomb asymptotics: resonances and scattering states**

FMD calculation for ^{12}C

Nuclear Force

Argonne V18 (T=0)

spins aligned parallel or perpendicular to the relative distance vector



- strong repulsive core: nucleons can not get closer than ≈ 0.5 fm

➳ **central correlations**

- strong dependence on the orientation of the spins due to the tensor force

➳ **tensor correlations**

Nuclear Force

Argonne V18 (T=0)

spins aligned parallel or perpendicular to the relative distance vector



- strong repulsive core: nucleons can not get closer than ≈ 0.5 fm

➳ **central correlations**

- strong dependence on the orientation of the spins due to the tensor force

➳ **tensor correlations**

the nuclear force will induce **strong short-range correlations** in the nuclear wave function

UCOM

Central and Tensor Correlations

$$\underset{\sim}{C} = \underset{\sim}{C}_{\Omega}\underset{\sim}{C}_{r}$$

$$\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_r + \mathbf{p}_\Omega$$

$$\mathbf{p}_r = \frac{1}{2}\left\{\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}\left(\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}\mathbf{p}\right) + \left(\mathbf{p}\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}\right)\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}\right\}, \qquad \mathbf{p}_\Omega = \frac{1}{2r}\left\{\mathbf{l}\times\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r} - \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}\times\mathbf{l}\right\}$$

UCOM
Central and Tensor Correlations

$$\underset{\sim}{C} = \underset{\sim}{C}_\Omega \underset{\sim}{C}_r$$

$$\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_r + \mathbf{p}_\Omega$$

$$\mathbf{p}_r = \frac{1}{2}\left\{\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}\left(\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}\mathbf{p}\right) + \left(\mathbf{p}\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}\right)\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}\right\}, \qquad \mathbf{p}_\Omega = \frac{1}{2r}\left\{\mathbf{l}\times\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r} - \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}\times\mathbf{l}\right\}$$

Central Correlations

$$\underset{\sim}{c}_r = \exp\left\{-\frac{i}{2}\{p_r s(r) + s(r) p_r\}\right\}$$

- probability density shifted out of the repulsive core



UCOM

Central and Tensor Correlations



$$\underset{\sim}{C} = \underset{\sim}{C}_\Omega \underset{\sim}{C}_r$$

$$\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_r + \mathbf{p}_\Omega$$

$$\mathbf{p}_r = \frac{1}{2}\left\{\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}\left(\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}\mathbf{p}\right) + \left(\mathbf{p}\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}\right)\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}\right\}, \qquad \mathbf{p}_\Omega = \frac{1}{2r}\left\{\mathbf{l}\times\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r} - \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}\times\mathbf{l}\right\}$$

Central Correlations

$$\underset{\sim}{C}_r = \exp\left\{-\frac{i}{2}\{p_r s(r) + s(r) p_r\}\right\}$$

- probability density shifted out of the repulsive core



Tensor Correlations

$$\underset{\sim}{C}_\Omega = \exp\left\{-i\vartheta(r)\left\{\frac{3}{2}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1\cdot\mathbf{p}_\Omega)(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2\cdot\mathbf{r}) + \frac{3}{2}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1\cdot\mathbf{r})(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2\cdot\mathbf{p}_\Omega)\right.\right.$$

- tensor force admixes other angular momenta



UCOM

Central and Tensor Correlations



$$\underset{\sim}{C} = \underset{\sim}{C}_\Omega \underset{\sim}{C}_r$$

$$\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_r + \mathbf{p}_\Omega$$

$$\mathbf{p}_r = \frac{1}{2}\left\{\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}\left(\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}\mathbf{p}\right) + \left(\mathbf{p}\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}\right)\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}\right\}, \qquad \mathbf{p}_\Omega = \frac{1}{2r}\left\{\mathbf{l}\times\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r} - \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}\times\mathbf{l}\right\}$$

Central Correlations

$$\underset{\sim}{C}_r = \exp\left\{-\frac{i}{2}\{p_r s(r) + s(r) p_r\}\right\}$$

- probability density shifted out of the repulsive core



- UCOM(variational): correlation functions $s(r)$ und $\vartheta(r)$ are determined by **variation** of the energy in the **two-body system** for each S, T channel

Tensor Correlations

$$\underset{\sim}{C}_\Omega = \exp\left\{-i\vartheta(r)\left\{\frac{3}{2}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1\cdot\mathbf{p}_\Omega)(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2\cdot\mathbf{r}) + \frac{3}{2}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1\cdot\mathbf{r})(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2\cdot\mathbf{p}_\Omega)\right.\right.$$

- tensor force admixes other angular momenta



- UCOM(SRG): correlation functions $s(r)$ und $\vartheta(r)$ are determined from **mapping wave functions** obtained with **bare interaction** to wave functions obtained with **SRG interaction**

Correlations and Energies

two-body densities



$\rho^{(2)}_{S,T}(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2) \quad S = 1, M_S = 1, T = 0$

central correlator C_r shifts density out of the repulsive core

tensor correlator C_Ω aligns density with spin orientation

Neff and Feldmeier, Nucl. Phys. **A713** (2003) 311

UCOM

Correlations and Energies

two-body densities



$\rho^{(2)}_{S,T}(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2) \quad S = 1, M_S = 1, T = 0$

central correlator C_r shifts density out of the repulsive core

tensor correlator C_Ω aligns density with spin orientation

both central and tensor correlations are essential for binding

energies

$0\hbar\omega$ Harmonic Oscillator



Neff and Feldmeier, Nucl. Phys. **A713** (2003) 311

Operator Representation of V_{UCOM}

$$\underset{\sim}{C}^{\dagger}(\underset{\sim}{T}+\underset{\sim}{V})\underset{\sim}{C} \;=\; \underset{\sim}{T}$$

one-body kinetic energy

$$+\sum_{ST}\hat{V}_{c}^{ST}(r)+\frac{1}{2}(\underset{\sim}{p_r}^2\,\hat{V}_{p^2}^{ST}(r)+\hat{V}_{p^2}^{ST}(r)\,\underset{\sim}{p_r}^2)+\hat{V}_{l^2}^{ST}(r)\,\underset{\sim}{\mathbf{l}}^2$$

central potentials

$$+\sum_{T}\hat{V}_{ls}^{T}(r)\,\underset{\sim}{\mathbf{l}}\cdot\underset{\sim}{\mathbf{s}}+\hat{V}_{l^2ls}^{T}(r)\,\underset{\sim}{\mathbf{l}}^2\underset{\sim}{\mathbf{l}}\cdot\underset{\sim}{\mathbf{s}}$$

spin-orbit potentials

$$+\sum_{T}\hat{V}_{t}^{T}(r)\,\underset{\sim}{S}_{12}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r})+\hat{V}_{trp_\Omega}^{T}(r)\,\underset{\sim}{p_r}\,\underset{\sim}{S}_{12}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{p_\Omega})+\hat{V}_{tll}^{T}(r)\,\underset{\sim}{S}_{12}(\mathbf{l},\mathbf{l})+$$
$$\hat{V}_{tp_\Omega p_\Omega}^{T}(r)\,\underset{\sim}{S}_{12}(\mathbf{p_\Omega},\mathbf{p_\Omega})+\hat{V}_{l^2tp_\Omega p_\Omega}^{T}(r)\,\underset{\sim}{\mathbf{l}}^2\underset{\sim}{S}_{12}(\mathbf{p_\Omega},\mathbf{p_\Omega})$$

tensor potentials

bulk of tensor force mapped onto central part of correlated interaction

tensor correlations also change the spin-orbit part of the interaction

Weber, Feldmeier, Hergert, Neff, Phys. Rev. C **89**, 034002 (2014)

Fermionic Molecular Dynamics

Fermionic

Slater determinant

$$\left| Q \right\rangle = \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{A}} \left(\left| q_1 \right\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes \left| q_A \right\rangle \right)$$

- antisymmetrized A-body state

Feldmeier, Schnack, Rev. Mod. Phys. **72** (2000) 655

Neff, Feldmeier, Nucl. Phys. **A738** (2004) 357

Fermionic Molecular Dynamics

Fermionic

Slater determinant

$$\left| Q \right\rangle = \mathcal{\tilde{A}}\left(\left| q_1 \right\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes \left| q_A \right\rangle \right)$$

- antisymmetrized A-body state

Molecular

single-particle states

$$\left\langle \mathbf{x} \middle| q \right\rangle = \sum_i c_i \exp\left\{ -\frac{(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}_i)^2}{2a_i} \right\} \otimes \left| \chi^{\uparrow}_i, \chi^{\downarrow}_i \right\rangle \otimes \left| \xi \right\rangle$$

- Gaussian wave-packets in phase-space (complex parameter $\mathbf{b}_i$ encodes mean position and mean momentum), spin is free, isospin is fixed
- width a_i is an independent variational parameter for each wave packet
- use one or two wave packets for each single particle state

Feldmeier, Schnack, Rev. Mod. Phys. **72** (2000) 655

Neff, Feldmeier, Nucl. Phys. **A738** (2004) 357

Fermionic Molecular Dynamics

Fermionic

Slater determinant

$$|Q\rangle = \mathcal{A}\Big(|q_1\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |q_A\rangle\Big)$$



- antisymmetrized A-body state

Molecular

single-particle states

$$\langle \mathbf{x} | q \rangle = \sum_i c_i \exp\left\{-\frac{(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{b}_i)^2}{2a_i}\right\} \otimes |\chi^{\uparrow}_i, \chi^{\downarrow}_i\rangle \otimes |\xi\rangle$$

- Gaussian wave-packets in phase-space (complex parameter $\mathbf{b}_i$ encodes mean position and mean momentum), spin is free, isospin is fixed
- width a_i is an independent variational parameter for each wave packet
- use one or two wave packets for each single particle state

Feldmeier, Schnack, Rev. Mod. Phys. **72** (2000) 655

Neff, Feldmeier, Nucl. Phys. **A738** (2004) 357

FMD

Fermionic Molecular Dynamics

Fermionic

Slater determinant

$$|Q\rangle = \mathcal{A}\Big(|q_1\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |q_A\rangle\Big)$$



- antisymmetrized A-body state

Molecular

single-particle states

$$\langle \mathbf{x}|q\rangle = \sum_i c_i \exp\left\{-\frac{(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{b}_i)^2}{2a_i}\right\} \otimes |\chi^{\uparrow}_i, \chi^{\downarrow}_i\rangle \otimes |\xi\rangle$$

- Gaussian wave-packets in phase-space (complex parameter $\mathbf{b}_i$ encodes mean position and mean momentum), spin is free, isospin is fixed
- width a_i is an independent variational parameter for each wave packet
- use one or two wave packets for each single particle st

FMD basis contains
HO shell model and
microscopic cluster model
as limiting cases

Feldmeier, Schnack, Rev. Mod. Phys. **72** (2000) 655

Neff, Feldmeier, Nucl. Phys. **A738** (2004) 357

Symmetries and Projection

Breaking of symmetries

- Slater determinants $\left| Q \right\rangle$ may break symmetries of the Hamiltonian with respect to parity, rotations and translations



Projection

- Restore symmetries by projection

$$\underset{\sim}{P}^{\pi} = \frac{1}{2}(1+\pi\underset{\sim}{\Pi}), \qquad \underset{\sim}{P}^{J}_{MK} = \frac{2J+1}{8\pi^2}\int \mathrm{d}^3\Omega\, D^{J}_{MK}{}^{\star}(\Omega)\underset{\sim}{R}(\Omega), \qquad \underset{\sim}{P}^{\mathbf{P}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\int \mathrm{d}^3X\, \exp\{-i(\underset{\sim}{\mathbf{P}}-\mathbf{P})\cdot\mathbf{X}\}$$

Multiconfiguration Mixing

- **diagonalize** Hamiltonian in a set of projected intrinsic states $\left\{ \left| Q^{(a)} \right\rangle, a = 1, \ldots, N \right\}$

$$\left| \Psi; J^{\pi} M \alpha \right\rangle = \sum_{Ka} \underset{\sim}{P}^{\pi} \underset{\sim}{P}^{J}_{MK} \underset{\sim}{P}^{\mathbf{P}=0} \left| Q^{(a)} \right\rangle c^{\alpha}_{Ka}$$

$$\sum_{K'b} \underbrace{\left\langle Q^{(a)} \left| \underset{\sim}{H} \underset{\sim}{P}^{\pi} \underset{\sim}{P}^{J}_{KK'} \underset{\sim}{P}^{\mathbf{P}=0} \right| Q^{(b)} \right\rangle}_{\text{Hamiltonian kernel}} c^{\alpha}_{K'b} = E^{J^{\pi}\alpha} \sum_{K'b} \underbrace{\left\langle Q^{(a)} \left| \underset{\sim}{P}^{\pi} \underset{\sim}{P}^{J}_{KK'} \underset{\sim}{P}^{\mathbf{P}=0} \right| Q^{(b)} \right\rangle}_{\text{norm kernel}} c^{\alpha}_{K'b}$$

FMD vs NCSM model spaces



- NCSM allows good description of short-range physics, but long-range behavior suffers from harmonic oscillator asymptotics

FMD vs NCSM model spaces



- NCSM allows good description of short-range physics, but long-range behavior suffers from harmonic oscillator asymptotics
- FMD allows to describe long-range physics by superposition of localized cluster configurations, but limited in description of short-range physics

^{3}He(α,γ)^{7}Be radiative capture

one of the key reactions in the solar pp-chains



Effective Nucleon-Nucleon interaction:

UCOM(SRG) $\alpha = 0.20$ **fm**^{4} **–** $\lambda \approx 1.5$ **fm**$^{-1}$

Many-Body Approach:

Fermionic Molecular Dynamics

- **Internal region: VAP configurations with radius constraint**
- **External region: Brink-type cluster configurations**
- **Matching to Coulomb solutions: Microscopic** R**-matrix method**

Results:

- ^{7}Be **bound and scattering states**
- **Astrophysical** S**-factor**

Neff, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 042502 (2011)

FMD model space

Frozen configurations

- antisymmetrized wave function built with ^{4}He and ^{3}He FMD clusters up to channel radius a=12 fm

Polarized configurations

- FMD wave functions obtained by VAP on $1/2^-$, $3/2^-$, $5/2^-$, $7/2^-$ and $1/2^+$, $3/2^+$ and $5/2^+$ combined with radius constraint in the interaction region

Boundary conditions

- Match relative motion of clusters at channel radius to Whittaker/Coulomb functions with the **microscopic *R*-matrix** method of the Brussels group

  D. Baye, P.-H. Heenen, P. Descouvemont



p-wave Bound and Scattering States

Bound states

		Experiment	FMD
^{7}Be	$E_{3/2-}$	-1.59 MeV	-1.49 MeV
	$E_{1/2-}$	-1.15 MeV	-1.31 MeV
	r_{ch}	2.647(17) fm	2.67 fm
	Q	–	-6.83 e fm^2
^{7}Li	$E_{3/2-}$	-2.467 MeV	-2.39 MeV
	$E_{1/2-}$	-1.989 MeV	-2.17 MeV
	r_{ch}	2.444(43) fm	2.46 fm
	Q	-4.00(3) e fm^2	-3.91 e fm^2

- centroid of bound state energies well described if polarized configurations included
- tail of wave functions tested by charge radii and quadrupole moments

Phase shift analysis:

Spiger and Tombrello, PR **163**, 964 (1967)



dashed lines – frozen configurations only
solid lines – polarized configurations in interaction region included

- Scattering phase shifts well described, polarization effects important

^{3}He(α,γ)^{7}Be

s-, d- and f-wave Scattering States





dashed lines – frozen configurations only – solid lines – FMD configurations in interaction region included

- polarization effects important
- s- and d-wave scattering phase shifts well described
- f-wave splittings too small, additional spin-orbit strength from three-body forces expected

$^3He(\alpha,\gamma)^7Be$
S-Factor



S-factor:

$$S(E) = \sigma(E) E \exp\{2\pi\eta\}$$

$$\eta = \frac{\mu Z_1 Z_2 e^2}{k}$$

Nara Singh *et al.*, PRL **93**, 262503 (2004)
Bemmerer *et al.*, PRL **97**, 122502 (2006)
Confortola *et al.*, PRC **75**, 065803 (2007)
Brown *et al.*, PRC **76**, 055801 (2007)
Di Leva *et al.*, PRL **102**, 232502 (2009)

- dipole transitions from $1/2^+$, $3/2^+$, $5/2^+$ scattering states into $3/2^-$, $1/2^-$ bound states
- ➳ FMD is the only model that describes well the energy dependence and normalization of new high quality data
- ➳ fully microscopic calculation, bound and scattering states are described consistently

Overlap Functions and Dipole Matrixelements





- Overlap functions from projection on RGM-cluster states
- Coulomb and Whittaker functions matched at channel radius a=12 fm
- Dipole matrix elements calculated from overlap functions reproduce full calculation within 2%
- cross section depends significantly on internal part of wave function, description as an “external” capture is too simplified

$^3H(\alpha,\gamma)^7Li$
S-Factor



S-factor:

$$S(E) = \sigma(E) E \exp\{2\pi\eta\}$$

$$\eta = \frac{\mu Z_1 Z_2 e^2}{k}$$

Brune *et al.*, PRC **50**, 2205 (1994)

- isospin mirror reaction of $^3He(\alpha,\gamma)^7Be$
- ^7Li bound state properties and phase shifts well described

➳ FMD calculation describes energy dependence of Brune *et al.* data but cross section is larger by about 15%

Cluster States in ^{12}C



Structure

- **Is the Hoyle state a pure α-cluster state ?**
- **Second 2^+ state**
  Zimmermann *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 152502 (2013)
- **Second 4^+ state**
  Freer *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 83, 034314 (2011)
- **Other states in the continuum**
  Fynbo *et al.*, . . .



➳ **Include continuum in the calculation!**

➳ **Compare FMD results to microscopic α-cluster model**

Neff, Feldmeier, arXiv:1409.3726

Cluster States in the NCSM ?



Hoyle state and other cluster states missing !

Maris, Vary, Calci, Langhammer, Binder, Roth, Phys. Rev. C **90**, 014314 (2014)

Previous work on ^{12}C

Monopole Matrix Element

transition form factor



transition density



$F^2(q)/q^2$



- model-independent self-consistent determination of transition form-factor/density in DWBA
- FMD and cluster model calculations in **bound-state approximation**

Chernykh, Feldmeier, Neff, von Neumann-Cosel, Richter,
Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 032501 (2007); **105**, 022501 (2010)

Model space in internal region



$$\rho^2 = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}^2 + \frac{2}{3}\mathbf{R}^2$$

Hyperradius

Model Space

- include all possible configurations on triangular grid ($d = 1.4\,\mathrm{fm}$) up to a certain hyperradius ρ
- no restriction on relative angular momenta

Basis States

- Intrinsic states are projected on parity and angular momentum

$$\left|\Psi^{3\alpha}_{JMK\pi}(\mathbf{R}_1,\mathbf{R}_2,\mathbf{R}_3)\right\rangle = \underset{\sim}{P}^{\pi}\underset{\sim}{P}^{J}_{MK}\underset{\sim}{\mathcal{A}}\left\{\left|\Psi^{^4\mathrm{He}}(\mathbf{R}_1)\right\rangle \otimes \left|\Psi^{^4\mathrm{He}}(\mathbf{R}_2)\right\rangle \otimes \left|\Psi^{^4\mathrm{He}}(\mathbf{R}_3)\right\rangle\right\}$$

Volkov Interaction

- simple central interaction
- parameters adjusted to give reasonable α binding energy and radius, $\alpha - \alpha$ scattering data, adjusted to reproduce ^{12}C ground state energy

✘ only reasonable for ^{4}He, ^{8}Be and ^{12}C nuclei

Kamimura, Nuc. Phys. **A351** (1981) 456

Funaki et al., Phys. Rev. C **67** (2003) 051306(R)

Model space in external region



Model Space

- ^{8}Be-^{4}He cluster configurations with generator coordinate R
- ^{8}Be ground state (0^+_1) and pseudo states (2^+_1, 0^+_2, 2^+_2, 4^+_1) obtained by diagonalizing α-α configurations up to $r = 10\,\mathrm{fm}$

Basis States

- ^{12}C basis states are obtained by **double projection**:

Project first ^{8}Be

$$\left| \Psi^{^8\mathrm{Be}}_{IK} \right\rangle = \sum_i \underset{\sim}{P}^I_{K0} \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{A}} \left\{ \left| \Psi^{^4\mathrm{He}}(-\tfrac{r_i}{2}\mathbf{e}_z \right\rangle \otimes \left| \Psi^{^4\mathrm{He}}(+\tfrac{r_i}{2}\mathbf{e}_z \right\rangle \right\} c^I_i$$

then the combined wave function

$$\left| \Psi^{^8\mathrm{Be},^4\mathrm{He}}_{IK;JM\pi}(R_j) \right\rangle = \underset{\sim}{P}^{\pi} \underset{\sim}{P}^{J}_{MK} \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{A}} \left\{ \left| \Psi^{^8\mathrm{Be}}_{IK}(-\tfrac{R_j}{3}\mathbf{e}_z) \right\rangle \otimes \left| \Psi^{^4\mathrm{He}}(+\tfrac{2R_j}{3}\mathbf{e}_z \right\rangle \right\}$$

- will allow to match to Coulomb asymptotics

^{8}Be-α Energy Surfaces

$J^\pi = 0^+$



$J^\pi = 2^+$



- energy surfaces contain localization energy for relative motion of ^{8}Be and α
- 2^+ energy surface depends strongly on orientation of ^{8}Be 2^+ state: $K = 2$ most attractive



Bound state approximation – Convergence ?

	$\rho < 6\,\mathrm{fm}$	$\rho < 6\,\mathrm{fm}$, $R < 9\,\mathrm{fm}$	$\rho < 6\,\mathrm{fm}$, $R < 12\,\mathrm{fm}$	$\rho < 6\,\mathrm{fm}$, $R < 15\,\mathrm{fm}$	Experiment	
$E(0_1^+)$	-89.63	-89.64	-89.64	-89.64	-92.16	
$E^*(2_1^+)$	2.53	2.54	2.54	2.54	4.44	
$E^*(0_2^+)$, $\Gamma_\alpha(0_2^+)$	8.53	7.82	7.78	7.76	7.65, $(8.5 \pm 1.0)10^{-6}$	
$E^*(2_2^+)$, $\Gamma_\alpha(2_2^+)$	10.11	9.18	9.08	8.93	10.13(5), $2.08^{+0.33}_{-0.26}$	[3]
$r_{\mathrm{charge}}(0_1^+)$	2.53	2.53	2.53	2.53	2.47(2)	
$r(0_1^+)$	2.39	2.39	2.39	2.39	–	
$r(0_2^+)$	3.21	3.68	3.78	3.89	–	
$B(E2, 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$	9.03	9.12	9.08	9.08	7.6(4)	
$M(E0, 0_1^+ \rightarrow 0_2^+)$	7.20	6.55	6.40	6.27	5.47(9)	[2]
$B(E2, 2_2^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$	3.65	2.48	2.09	1.33	$1.57^{+0.14}_{-0.11}$	[3]

- properties of resonances (Hoyle state and second 2^+ state) can not be determined in bound state approximation in an unambigouos way

[1] Ajzenberg-Selove, Nuc. Phys. **A506**, 1 (1990)
[2] Chernykh et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 022501 (2010)
[3] Zimmermann et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 152502 (2013); H. Weller, *private communication*

Matching to Coulomb asymptotics

Model Space

- Internal region: 3-α configurations on a grid
- External region: ^{8}Be$(0^+, 2^+, 4^+)$-α configurations
- Asymptotically: only Coulomb interaction between ^{8}Be and ^{4}He clusters

GCM basis state expressed in RGM basis

- Microscopic GCM wave functions are functions of single-particle coordinates: internal wave functions of cluster, the relative motion of the clusters and the total center-of-mass motion are entangled
- Write GCM basis state in external region with RGM basis states

$$\left| \Psi^{^8\mathrm{Be},^4\mathrm{He}}_{IK;JM\pi}(R_j) \right\rangle = \sum_L \left\langle \begin{matrix} I & L \\ K & 0 \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} J \\ K \end{matrix} \right\rangle \int dr r^2 \, \Gamma_L(R_j; r) \left| \Phi^{^8\mathrm{Be},^4\mathrm{He}}_{(IL)JM\pi}(r) \right\rangle \otimes \left| \Phi^{\mathrm{cm}} \right\rangle$$

with $(\pi = (-1)^L)$

$$\left\langle \boldsymbol{\rho}, \xi_a, \xi_b \middle| \Phi^{^8\mathrm{Be},^4\mathrm{He}}_{(IL)JM\pi}(r) \right\rangle = \sum_{M_I, M_L} \left\langle \begin{matrix} I & L \\ M_I & M_L \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} J \\ M \end{matrix} \right\rangle \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{A}} \left\{ \frac{\delta(\rho - r)}{r^2} \Phi^{^8\mathrm{Be}}_{IM_I}(\xi_a) \, \Phi^{^4\mathrm{He}}(\xi_b) \, Y_{LM_L}(\hat{\rho}) \right\}$$

- asymptotically RGM states have good channel spin I and orbital angular momentum L

Matching to Coulomb asymptotics

RGM norm kernel and Overlap functions

- RGM norm kernel reflects effects of antisymmetrization, channel $c = (IL)J$

$$N_{c,c'}(r,r') = \left\langle \Phi_c(r) \middle| \Phi_{c'}(r') \right\rangle \overset{r,r'\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \delta_{cc'} \frac{\delta(r-r')}{rr'}$$

- Overlap functions can be interpreted as wave functions for point-like clusters

$$\psi_c(r) = \int dr' r'^2 \, N^{-1/2}_{c,c'}(r,r') \left\langle \Phi_{c'}(r') \middle| \Psi \right\rangle$$

Matching to the asymptotic solution

- Use using multichannel microscopic R-matrix approach
  Descouvemont, Baye, Phys. Rept. 73, 036301 (2010)
- Check that results are independent from channel radius: used $a = 16.5$ fm here



Matching to Coulomb asymptotics

Bound states

- Whittaker functions

$$\psi_c(r) = A_c \frac{1}{r} W_{-\eta_c, L_c+1/2}(2\kappa_c r), \qquad \kappa_c = \sqrt{-2\mu(E-E_c)}$$

Resonances

- purely outgoing Coulomb, k complex

$$\psi_c(r) = A_c \frac{1}{r} O_{L_c}(\eta_c, k_c r), \qquad k_c = \sqrt{2\mu(E-E_c)}$$

Scattering states

- in- and outgoing Coulomb (incoming channel c_0)

$$\psi_c(r) = \frac{1}{r}\left\{\delta_{L_c,L_0} I_{L_c}(\eta_c, k_c r) - S_{c,c_0} O_{L_c}(\eta_c, k_c r)\right\}, \qquad k_c = \sqrt{2\mu(E-E_c)}$$

- Diagonal phase shifts and inelasticity parameters: $S_{cc} = \eta_c \exp\{2i\delta_c\}$
- Eigenphases: $S = U^{-1} D U, D_{\alpha\alpha} = \exp\{2i\delta_\alpha\}$

Cluster Model: ^{8}Be(0^+_1,2^+_1)-α Continuum

0^+ Phase shifts

Eigenphaseshifts



Phaseshifts



Inelasticities



Gamow states

	E [MeV]	Γ_α [MeV]	
0^+_2	0.29	$1.78 \cdot 10^{-5}$	
0^+_3	4.11	0.12	
0^+_4	4.76	1.57	(?)

- non-resonant background
- strong coupling between ^{8}Be(0^+) and ^{8}Be(2^+) channel at 4.1 MeV
- Hoyle state missed when scanning the phase shifts
- stability of broad resonance with respect to channel radius ?

Cluster Model: ^{8}Be(0^+_1,2^+_1)-α Continuum

2^+ Phase shifts

Eigenphaseshifts



Phaseshifts



Inelasticities



Gamow states

	E [MeV]	Γ_α [MeV]
2^+_2	1.51	0.32
2^+_3	4.31	0.14
...		

- non-resonant background
- $L = 2$ ^{8}Be(0^+) and ^{8}Be(2^+) resonances

Cluster Model: ^{8}Be(0^+_1,2^+_1)-α Continuum

4^+ Phase shifts

Eigenphaseshifts



Phaseshifts



Inelasticities



Gamow states

	E [MeV]	Γ_α [MeV]
4^+_1	1.17	$8.07 \cdot 10^{-6}$
4^+_2	4.06	0.98
...		

- 4_1 state (ground state band) very narrow, missed when scanning phase shifts
- 4^+_2 state mostly ^{8}Be(0+) but some mixing

Including Continuum

	$\rho < 6\,\mathrm{fm}$ $R < 9\,\mathrm{fm}$	$\rho < 6\,\mathrm{fm}$ $R < 12\,\mathrm{fm}$	$\rho < 6\,\mathrm{fm}$ $R < 15\,\mathrm{fm}$	$\rho < 6\,\mathrm{fm}$ Continuum	Experiment
$E(0_1^+)$	-89.64	-89.64	-89.64	-89.64	-92.16
$E^*(2_1^+)$	2.54	2.54	2.54	2.54	4.44
$E^*(0_2^+)$, $\Gamma_\alpha(0_2^+)$	7.82	7.78	7.76	7.76, $3.04 \cdot 10^{-3}$	7.65, $(8.5 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-6}$
$E^*(2_2^+)$, $\Gamma_\alpha(2_2^+)$	9.18	9.08	8.93	8.98, 0.46	$10.13(5)$, $2.08^{+0.33}_{-0.26}$
$r_{\mathrm{charge}}(0_1^+)$	2.53	2.53	2.53	2.53	2.47(2)
$r(0_1^+)$	2.39	2.39	2.39	2.39	–
$r(0_2^+)$	3.68	3.78	3.89	4.08 + 0.07i	–
$B(E2, 2_1^+ \to 0_1^+)$	9.12	9.08	9.08	9.08	7.6(4)
$M(E0, 0_1^+ \to 0_2^+)$	6.55	6.40	6.27	6.02 + 0.01i	5.47(9)
$B(E2, 2_2^+ \to 0_1^+)$	2.48	2.09	1.33	2.11 + 1.41i	$1.57^{+0.14}_{-0.11}$

- Resonances are calculated as Gamow states
- Matrix elements including resonances are regulated according to Berggren and Gyarmati
- Imaginary part provides information about uncertainty of matrix elements

Berggren, Nucl. Phys. **A109**, 265 (1968)
Gyarmati, Krisztinkovics, Vertse, Phys. Lett. **B41**, 475 (1972)
Berggren, Phys. Lett. **B373**, 1 (1996)

Strength distributions

- Use real continuum (scattering states)
- Might be the better way to compare to experiment, especially for broad and overlapping resonances (background contributions)





Zimmermann et al.,
Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 152502 (2013)

Work in Progress:
FMD calculations with ^{8}Be-α continuum

UCOM interaction

- **Correlation functions from SRG (α=0.20 fm^4, λ=1.5 fm$^{-}1$)**
- **Increase strength of spin-orbit force by a factor of two to partially account for omitted three-body forces**

^{8}Be-α Continuum

- **To get a reasonable description of ^{8}Be it is essential to include polarized configurations**

➳ **Calculate strength distributions**

➳ **Investigate non-cluster states: non-natural parity states, $T = 1$ states, M1 transitions, ^{12}B and ^{12}N β-decay into ^{12}C, . . .**

Model space in internal region

Model Space

- no assumption of α-clustering
- complete basis not feasible, find the "most important" basis states
- determine wave packet parameters by variation



VAP, VAP with constraints, Multiconfiguration-VAP

For each angular momentum (0^+, 1^+, 2^+, . . .)

- **VAP**: vary energy of projected Slater determinant $\underset{\sim}{P}^{\pi}\underset{\sim}{P}^{J}_{MK}\left|\, Q(q_i)\,\right\rangle$ with respect to all parameters q_i
- **VAP(R)**: create additional basis states by variation with a constraint on the radius of the intrinsic state
- **MC-VAP**: keep VAP state fix and vary the parameters of a second Slater determinant to minimize the energy of the second eigenstate in a multiconfiguration mixing calculation
- **MC-VAP(R)**: create additional basis states by adding a constraint on the radius of the second intrinsic state

Important Configurations

- Calculate the overlap with FMD basis states to find the most important contributions to the eigenstates

$\left|\left\langle \cdot \mid 0_1^+ \right\rangle\right| = 0.94$

$\left|\left\langle \cdot \mid 2_1^+ \right\rangle\right| = 0.93$



$\left|\left\langle \cdot \mid 0_2^+ \right\rangle\right| = 0.64$



$\left|\left\langle \cdot \mid 0_2^+ \right\rangle\right| = 0.58$



$\left|\left\langle \cdot \mid 0_2^+ \right\rangle\right| = 0.57$



$\left|\left\langle \cdot \mid 0_2^+ \right\rangle\right| = 0.45$



$\left|\left\langle \cdot \mid 3_1^- \right\rangle\right| = 0.91$



$\left|\left\langle \cdot \mid 2_2^+ \right\rangle\right| = 0.50$



$\left|\left\langle \cdot \mid 2_2^+ \right\rangle\right| = 0.49$



$\left|\left\langle \cdot \mid 2_2^+ \right\rangle\right| = 0.44$



$\left|\left\langle \cdot \mid 2_2^+ \right\rangle\right| = 0.41$

FMD basis states are not orthogonal!

0_2^+ and 2_2^+ states have no rigid intrinsic structure

FMD/Cluster Model: ^{8}Be-α Continuum

Spectra



- FMD: ^{8}Be wave functions to be improved

Summary

Unitary Correlation Operator Method

- Explicit description of short-range central and tensor correlations

Fermionic Molecular Dynamics

- Gaussian wave-packet basis contains HO shell model and Brink-type cluster states

^{3}He(α,γ)^{7}Be Radiative Capture

- Bound states, scattering states, transitions from the continuum

Microscopic cluster model for ^{12}C

- Model space with 3 α and ^{8}Be-α configurations
- Matching with Coulomb continuum, resonances and scattering states
- Hoyle state band build on ^{8}Be(gs)-α

FMD calculations for ^{12}C

- VAP and Multiconfig-VAP in internal region, ^{8}Be-α in external region

➳ Investigate EM and GT transitions to the continuum

➳ ^{8}Be-α vs real three-body asymptotics ?