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## The anomalous magnetic moment

magnetic dipole moment

gyromagnetic factor


Schwinger (1948)

$a_{\mu}{ }^{\operatorname{QED}(1)}=\alpha_{e m} / 2 \pi=0.001161$

$$
\vec{\mu}=g Q \mu_{0} \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}
$$

$\mu_{0}:$ Bohr magneton
Q: charge
Dirac theory (1928)
free electron
anomalous moment

Kinoshita (2012)

$a_{\mu}{ }^{\text {QED (5) }}=(11658471.896 \pm 0.008) \cdot 10^{-10}$ up to $\alpha_{e m}{ }^{5}$ !

## (g-2) $\mu$ : theory vs experiment

present theoretical SM value

$$
a_{\mu} \mathrm{SM}=(11659184.0 \pm 5.9) \times 10^{-10}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { BNL-E821 (wor } \\
& 0 \pm 63 \\
& \text { JN } 09 \text { ( } \mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \text {-based) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
-299 \pm 65
$$

DHMZ 10 ( $\tau$-based)

$$
-195 \pm 54
$$

DHMZ $10\left(\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)$
$-287 \pm 49$

HLMNT 11 ( $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$)
$-261 \pm 49$
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E821 measurement of $(\mathrm{g}-2)_{\mu}$ (2009)
$a_{\mu}{ }^{\exp }=(11659208.9 \pm 6.3) \times 10^{-10}$
discrepancy between theory and experiment
$a_{\mu} \exp -a_{\mu}^{\text {th }}=(24.9 \pm 8.7) \times 10^{-10}$
(2.9 $\sigma$ ) New Physics?

```
error(s)!
```

new FNAL (g-2) $\mu$ measurement (2015):
factor 4 precision improvement

$$
\pm 1.6 \cdot 10^{-10}
$$

improve theory!
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## sensitivity of $(\mathrm{g}-2)_{\mu}$ experiments <br> to various corrections

```
experiments
```

future BNL CERN CERN
FNAL 200619761968

theory corrections
hadronic vacuum polarization (VP)

hadronic VP determined by cross section measurements of $e^{+} e^{-}->$hadrons

$$
a_{\mu}{ }^{\text {had, } V P}=(692.3 \pm 4.2) \times 10^{-10}
$$

hadronic light-by-light scattering (LbL)

measurements of meson transition form factors required as input to reduce uncertainty

## Models of hadronic LbL scattering
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## Models of hadronic LbL scattering


general solution from the first principles is not available
multi-scale problem mixed soft - hard regions

| Contribution | BPP | HKS | KN | MV | BP | PdRV | N/JN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pi^{0}, \eta, \eta^{\prime}$ | $85 \pm 13$ | $82.7 \pm 6.4$ | $83 \pm 12$ | $114 \pm 10$ | - | $114 \pm 13$ | $99 \pm 16$ |
| $\pi, K$ loops | $-19 \pm 13$ | $-4.5 \pm 8.1$ | - | - | - | $-19 \pm 19$ | $-19 \pm 13$ |
| $\pi, K$ loops + other subleading in $N_{c}$ | - | - | - | $0 \pm 10$ | - | - | - |
| axial vectors | $2.5 \pm 1.0$ | $1.7 \pm 1.7$ | - | $22 \pm 5$ | - | $15 \pm 10$ | $22 \pm 5$ |
| scalars | $-6.8 \pm 2.0$ | - | - | - | - | $-7 \pm 7$ | $-7 \pm 2$ |
| quark loops | $21 \pm 3$ | $9.7 \pm 11.1$ | - | - | - | 2.3 | $21 \pm 3$ |
| total | $83 \pm 32$ | $89.6 \pm 15.4$ | $80 \pm 40$ | $136 \pm 25$ | $110 \pm 40$ | $105 \pm 26$ | $116 \pm 39$ |

## Single-meson contributions to the $(\mathrm{g}-2)_{\mu}$
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## Single-meson contribution

| $a_{\mu}=\lim _{k \rightarrow 0} F_{2}\left(k^{2}\right)$ | two-loop <br> Feynman integral |
| :--- | :---: |
| Pauli form factor | $\int \mathrm{d}^{4} q_{1} \int \mathrm{~d}^{4} q_{2}$ |

 LbL scattering tensor

## Single-meson contribution

)
\(\left.\begin{array}{c}F\left(Q_{1}, Q_{2}, P\right) <br>
non- <br>
perturbative <br>

dynamics\end{array}\right) \quad\)| off-shell |
| :---: |
| information? |

## Single-meson contribution



## Single-meson contribution



## Single-meson contribution



$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{\mu}^{L b L}=\frac{-e^{6}}{48 m} \int \frac{d^{4} q_{1}}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \int \frac{d^{4} q_{2}}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \frac{1}{q_{1} q_{n}^{2}\left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right)^{2}} \frac{1}{\left(p+q_{1}\right)^{2}-m^{2}\left(p-q_{2}\right)^{2}-m^{2}} \\
& \times \frac{F\left(q_{1}^{2},\left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right)^{2}\right) F\left(q_{2}^{2}, 0\right)}{q_{2}^{2}-m_{P}^{2}} T_{a b}\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, p\right)+\frac{1}{\left(q_{1}^{2}, q_{2}^{2}\right) F\left(\left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right)^{2}, 0\right)} \\
& \left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right)^{2}-m_{P}^{2}
\end{aligned} T_{c}\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, p\right)
$$

## Single-meson contribution



$$
\left(\begin{array}{c|c|l|l}
a_{\mu}^{L b L}=\frac{-e^{6}}{48 m} N \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{4} q_{1}}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{4} q_{2}}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \frac{1}{q_{1} q_{2}^{2}\left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right)^{2}} \frac{1}{\left(p+q_{1}\right)^{2}-m^{2}} \frac{1}{\left(p-q_{2}\right)^{2}-m^{2}} \\
\times \\
\times \frac{F\left(q_{1}^{2},\left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right)^{2}\right) F\left(q_{2}^{2}, 0\right)}{q_{2}^{2}-m_{P}^{2}} T_{a b}\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, p\right)+\frac{F\left(q_{1}^{2}, q_{2}^{2}\right) F\left(\left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right)^{2}, 0\right)}{\left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right)^{2}-m_{P}^{2}} T_{c}\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, p\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

large $-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$
short-distance QCD constraints
pseudoscalar poles: $\pi^{0}, \eta, \eta^{\prime}$


Knecht, Nyffeler (2001)

## $\mathrm{A} \gamma^{*} \gamma$ transition amplitude

dipole parametrization
$A \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ transition FF :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{A\left(Q_{1}^{2}, 0\right)}{A(0,0)}=\frac{1}{\left(1+Q_{1}^{2} / \Lambda_{A}^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
& {[A(0,0)]^{2}=\frac{12}{\pi \alpha^{2}} \frac{1}{m_{A}^{2}} \Gamma_{\gamma \gamma}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## $\mathrm{A} \gamma^{*} \gamma$ transition amplitude

dipole parametrization $A \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ transition FF :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{A\left(Q_{1}^{2}, 0\right)}{A(0,0)}=\frac{1}{\left(1+Q_{1}^{2} / \Lambda_{A}^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
& {[A(0,0)]^{2}=\frac{12}{\pi \alpha^{2}} \frac{1}{m_{A}^{2}} \Gamma_{\gamma \gamma}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $2 \gamma$ decay widths $\Gamma_{\nu \nu}$ and dipole masses $\Lambda_{A}$ entering the FF, we use the experimental results from the L3 Collaboration.


## Two-dimensional representation

$$
a_{\mu}^{L b L}=\frac{\alpha}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \frac{\Lambda_{A 1}^{6} \Lambda_{A 2}^{6} \tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma \gamma}(A)}{m m_{A}^{5}} \int \mathrm{~d} Q_{1} \int \mathrm{~d} Q_{2}\left[2 w_{a}\left(Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right)+w_{c}\left(Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right)\right]
$$
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## Two-dimensional representation



|  | $m_{A}$ <br> $[\mathrm{MeV}]$ | $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma \gamma}$ <br> $[\mathrm{keV}]$ | $\Lambda_{A}$ <br> $[\mathrm{MeV}]$ | $a_{\mu}^{L b L ; A} \times 10^{10}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f_{1}(1285)$ | $1281.8 \pm 0.6$ | $3.5 \pm 0.8$ | $1040 \pm 78$ | $0.50_{-0.17}^{+0.20}$ |
| $f_{1}(1420)$ | $1426.4 \pm 0.9$ | $3.2 \pm 0.9$ | $926 \pm 78$ | $0.14_{-0.06}^{+0.07}$ |

the contribution of the axial-vector pole to the $(\mathrm{g}-2)_{\mu}$
M. Vanderhaeghen (2014)
...scalar and tensor mesons? $f_{0}, f_{2}, a_{0}, a_{2}$, etc.
experimental information is very limited!
light-by-light scattering sum rules
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Im} f^{(-)}(s)=-\frac{s}{8}\left[\sigma_{2}(s)-\sigma_{0}(s)\right] \\
& \operatorname{Im} f^{(+)}(s)=-\frac{s}{8}\left[\sigma_{t o t}(s)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Sum rules


micro-causality
real part of the amplitude gauge symmetry $\rightarrow$ low-energy
structure of the elastic LbL scattering:
$\mathcal{L}^{(8)}=c_{1}\left(F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu}\right)^{2}+c_{2}\left(F_{\mu \nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu \nu}\right)^{2}$
Euler, Heisenberg (1936)

$$
\int_{s_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s}\left[\sigma_{2}(s)-\sigma_{0}(s)\right]=0
$$



imaginary part of the amplitude -photon-photon fusion into leptons and hadrons:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Im} f^{(-)}(s)=-\frac{s}{8}\left[\sigma_{2}(s)-\sigma_{0}(s)\right] \\
& \operatorname{Im} f^{(+)}(s)=-\frac{s}{8}\left[\sigma_{t o t}(s)\right] \\
& c_{1} \pm c_{2}=\frac{1}{8 \pi} \int_{s_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{s^{2}}\left[\sigma_{\|}(s) \pm \sigma_{\perp}(s)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Meson production

- the SRs hold separately for channels of given intrinsic quantum numbers: isoscalar and isovector mesons, cc states
- input for the absorptive part of the SRs: $\gamma \gamma$ hadrons response functions, can be expressed in terms of $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{M}$ transition form factors
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- input for the absorptive part of the SRs: $\gamma \gamma$ hadrons response functions, can be expressed in terms of $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{M}$ transition form factors


## isoscalar light quark states:

the contribution of $n, \eta^{\prime}$
is entirely compensated by $f_{2}(1270), f_{2}(1565)$ and $f_{2}{ }^{\prime}(1525)$


## Meson production in $\gamma^{*} \gamma$ collision: TFF


at finite $Q_{1}{ }^{2}$ the SR imply information on meson transition form-factors:

## Meson production in $\gamma^{*} \gamma$ collision: TFF


at finite $Q_{1}{ }^{2}$ the SR imply information on meson transition form-factors:
estimate for the $f_{2}(1270)$ tensor $F F$ in terms of the $\eta, \eta^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{f}_{1} \mathrm{FFs}$

$$
0=\int_{s_{0}}^{\infty} d s \frac{1}{\left(s+Q_{1}^{2}\right)}\left[\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{0}\right]_{Q_{2}^{2}=0}
$$

-. $f_{1}(1285), f_{1}(1420) L 3$

## - $\quad \eta, \eta^{\prime}$ BaBer

$$
0=\int_{s_{0}}^{\infty} d s \frac{1}{\left(s+Q_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}}\left[\sigma_{\|}+\sigma_{L T}+\frac{\left(s+Q_{1}^{2}\right)}{Q_{1} Q_{2}} \tau_{T L}^{a}\right]_{Q_{2}^{2}=0}
$$

$$
\text { direct measurements } \longrightarrow \text { BES III }
$$

## Scalars and tensors: results

contribution of the narrow scalar resonances

|  | $m_{M}$ <br> $[\mathrm{MeV}]$ | $\Gamma_{\gamma \gamma}$ <br> $[\mathrm{keV}]$ | $a_{\mu}\left(\Lambda_{\text {mono }}=1 \mathrm{GeV}\right)$ <br> $\left[10^{-11}\right]$ | $a_{\mu}\left(\Lambda_{\text {mono }}=2 \mathrm{GeV}\right)$ <br> $\left[10^{-11}\right]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f_{0}(980)$ | $980 \pm 10$ | $0.29 \pm 0.07$ | $-0.19 \pm 0.05$ | $-0.61 \pm 0.15$ |
| $f_{0}^{\prime}(1370)$ | $1200-1500$ | $3.8 \pm 1.5$ | $-0.54 \pm 0.21$ | $-1.84 \pm 0.73$ |
| $a_{0}(980)$ | $980 \pm 20$ | $0.3 \pm 0.1$ | $-0.20 \pm 0.07$ | $-0.63 \pm 0.21$ |
| Sum |  |  | $-0.9 \pm 0.2$ | $-3.1 \pm 0.8$ |

contribution of the narrow tensor resonances

|  | $m_{M}$ <br> $[\mathrm{MeV}]$ | $\Gamma_{\gamma \gamma}$ <br> $[\mathrm{keV}]$ | $a_{\mu}\left(\Lambda_{\text {dip }}=1.5 \mathrm{GeV}\right)$ <br> $\left[10^{-11}\right]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f_{2}(1270)$ | $1275.1 \pm 1.2$ | $3.03 \pm 0.35$ | $0.79 \pm 0.09$ |
| $f_{2}(1565)$ | $1562 \pm 13$ | $0.70 \pm 0.14$ | $0.07 \pm 0.01$ |
| $a_{2}(1320)$ | $1318.3 \pm 0.6$ | $1.00 \pm 0.06$ | $0.22 \pm 0.01$ |
| $a_{2}(1700)$ | $1732 \pm 16$ | $0.30 \pm 0.05$ | $0.02 \pm 0.003$ |
| Sum |  |  | $1.1 \pm 0.1$ |
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## (g-2) $\mu$ and dispersion relations
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## Dispersion approach

hadronic sector: vacuum polarization in $\mathrm{g}-2$


$$
e^{+} e^{-}-\text {production of hadrons }
$$


dispersion relations

$$
\Pi\left(q^{2}\right)-\Pi(0)=\frac{q^{2}}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} s \frac{\operatorname{Im} \Pi(s)}{s\left(s-q^{2}\right)}
$$

## Dispersion approach

hadronic sector: vacuum polarization in $\mathrm{g}-2$

the hadronic state has negative invariant mass: NO dispersion relation can be written!!

$$
\Pi\left(q^{2}\right)-\Pi(0)=\frac{q^{2}}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} s \frac{\operatorname{Im} \Pi(s)}{s\left(s-q^{2}\right)}
$$

$e^{+} e^{-}$- production of hadrons

dispersion relations

## Scalar theory

## Scalar theory



## Scalar theory



Discontinuity
Generalized unitarity (Cutkosky rules)

$$
2 \operatorname{Disc} \mathcal{M}_{i f}=\sum_{n} \mathcal{M}_{i n} \mathcal{M}_{n f}^{*}
$$

## Scalar theory



Dispersion relations

$$
F\left(q^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\pi i} \int \frac{q^{\prime} \mathrm{d} q^{\prime}}{q^{\prime 2}-q^{2}} \operatorname{Disc} F\left(q^{\prime 2}\right)
$$



## Discontinuity

Generalized unitarity (Cutkosky rules)

$$
2 \operatorname{Disc} \mathcal{M}_{i f}=\sum_{n} \mathcal{M}_{i n} \mathcal{M}_{n f}^{*}
$$

$\operatorname{Disc} F\left(q^{\prime 2}\right)=\operatorname{Disc}_{2} F\left(q^{\prime 2}\right)+\operatorname{Disc}_{3} F\left(q^{\prime 2}\right)$

$$
\left[\operatorname{Disc}_{2} F\left(q^{\prime 2}\right)\right]=\int \mathrm{d} \Phi_{2} \mathcal{M}_{1 \rightarrow 2} \mathcal{M}_{2 \rightarrow 2}^{*}
$$

$\left[\operatorname{Disc}_{3} F\left(q^{\prime 2}\right)\right]=\int \mathrm{d} \Phi_{3} \mathcal{M}_{1 \rightarrow 3} \mathcal{M}_{3 \rightarrow 2}^{*}$

## Scalar theory
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Imaginary parts cancel: $\quad \operatorname{Im}\left[\operatorname{Disc}_{2} F\left(q^{\prime 2}\right)\right]+\operatorname{Im}\left[\operatorname{Disc}_{3} F\left(q^{\prime 2}\right)\right]=0$

## Discontinuity



Imaginary parts cancel:

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left[\operatorname{Disc}_{2} F\left(q^{\prime 2}\right)\right]+\operatorname{Im}\left[\operatorname{Disc}_{3} F\left(q^{\prime 2}\right)\right]=0
$$

## Discontinuity



## Real parts

$100 * \Gamma(0)$

$\Gamma(0)$


## Real parts
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## Real parts



## Lb discontinuity



## T - time-like

 information

S - time-like information

## ...towards a model independent evaluation

# $\pi o \gamma^{*} \gamma^{*}$ transition FF 

## CMD-2

## $\pi o \gamma^{*} \gamma^{*}$ transition FF

To $\gamma \gamma$ transition FF in the time-like region


## $\pi o \gamma^{*} \gamma^{*}$ transition FF

CMD-2
$\pi \pi_{0} \gamma \gamma$ transition FF in the time-like region


$$
e^{+} e^{-} \text {colliders }
$$

To $\gamma \gamma$ transition FF in the space-like region

space-like region $Q_{2}{ }^{2}<40 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ for $Q_{1}{ }^{2}=0$


BES III


## Perspectives \& conclusions

direct calculation in field theory does NOT give
needed precision!
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## Perspectives \& conclusions

direct calculation in field theory does NOT give needed precision!
need of experimental input
estimates based on data:
light-quark states are dominating:

$$
\pi^{0}, \pi^{+} \pi^{-}, \eta, \eta^{\prime}, a_{1} \ldots
$$

model dependence

> dispersion relations

## Perspectives \& conclusions
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## dispersion relations

allow to incorporate
non-perturbative information in terms of on-shell information -
can be measured in experiment!
time- and space-like data are needed

## Thank you!
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