Measurement of $\pi^0\pi^{+/-}$ Photoproduction off the Deuteron and d-Butanol targets

International School of Nuclear Physics, Erice

Debdeep Ghosal

University of Basel– Krusche Group

debdeep.ghosal@unibas.ch

Sept. 20, '18

Overview

1. Introduction and Motivation for Photoproduction
2. Motivation for Photoproduction with $\pi^0\pi^{+/-}$
3. Experimental Setup
    - Detector setup
    - Beamtime & Interested channels
4. Analysis Method
    - Cuts to reject Background
    - Special cuts on the MC events
5. E Observable Extraction
6. Results and Discussion

Introduction and Motivation for Photoproduction

✓ An efficient tool for the study of decays of nucleon resonances

✓ Excitation spectrum of hadrons → the underlying symmetries and the internal degrees of freedom

Photoproduction of pion pairs off nuclei

- insight into low energy **QCD**(large α)
- in medium resonances of nucleons
- Baryons could have less internal degrees of freedom than predicted in quark models
- possibilities of more complex baryonic structures(e.g pentaquarks etc.)

Motivation for Photoproduction of mesons

For nucleon resonances the effective degrees of freedom are not well understood and many more states have been predicted than observed.[**larger mass region of the spectrum**] [3]



Motivation for Photoproduction with $\pi^0\pi^{+/-}$ [1], [3]

- Higher lying resonances have tendency of cascade-like decays with an intermediate state $\rightarrow$ double pion production interesting.



- Special interests in $\pi^0\pi^{+/-}$ include also contributions from ρ meson (forbidden in $\pi^0\pi^0$)

- Influence of ρ on 2nd resonance peak ➡️ study with proton, deuteron, ^{4}He and heavier targets

Motivation for Photoproduction with $\pi^0\pi^{+/-}$ [1], [3]

- Higher lying resonances have tendency of cascade-like decays with an intermediate state $\rightarrow$ double pion production interesting.



- Special interests in $\pi^0\pi^{+/-}$ include also contributions from ρ meson (forbidden in $\pi^0\pi^0$)

- Influence of ρ on 2nd resonance peak ➡️ study with proton, deuteron, ^{4}He and heavier targets



Motivation for Photoproduction with $\pi^0\pi^{+/-}$ [1], [3]

- Higher lying resonances have tendency of cascade-like decays with an intermediate state $\rightarrow$ double pion production interesting.



- Special interests in $\pi^0\pi^{+/-}$ include also contributions from ρ meson (forbidden in $\pi^0\pi^0$)

- Influence of ρ on 2nd resonance peak ➡️ study with proton, deuteron, ^{4}He and heavier targets





Motivation for measurement of E observable

1. Photoproduction of mesons $\rightarrow$ Model independent reaction analysis

Motivation for measurement of E observable

1. Photoproduction of mesons $\rightarrow$ Model independent reaction analysis
2. Data beyond total cross sections and angular distributions that can pin down the partial wave related to narrow peak-like structure

Experimental Setup of A2 Mainz

Crystal Ball experiment



Figure: Schematic overview of the Exp. Setup [5]

Experimental Setup of A2 Mainz



Figure: Schematic overview of the Exp. Setup [5]

Experimental Setup of A2 Mainz

Parameters for Data taking with Unpolarized and Polarized targets

Parameters	Unpolarized target	Polarized target
Target type	Liq Deuterium[LD_2]	dButanol
Target length[cm]	3.02	1.88
Multiplicity trigger	M2+	M2+
Photon tagger range[MeV]	400 to 1400	400 to 1400
Radiator	Moeller	Moeller
e^- beam energy[MeV]	1575.5 MeV	1557 MeV

Table: Parameters for deuterium(May 2009) and dButanol(Dec 2015) beamtimes

About the Interested Channels

Investigated reactions of baryon spectrum: NN, πN and γN(limited extent)

About the Interested Channels

Interested Amplitudes:

About the Interested Channels

Interested Amplitudes:

$\gamma p(n) \longrightarrow \pi^+\pi^0 n(n)$

↪ 4 channels:

- Phase space
- via $\Delta^+ \longrightarrow \pi^+ n$
- via $\Delta^0 \longrightarrow \pi^0 n$
- via $\rho^+ \longrightarrow \pi^+\pi^0$

$\gamma n(p) \longrightarrow \pi^-\pi^0 p(p)$

↪ 4 channels:

- Phase space
- via $\Delta^0 \longrightarrow \pi^- p$
- via $\Delta^+ \longrightarrow \pi^0 p$
- via $\rho^- \longrightarrow \pi^-\pi^0$

$\gamma p(n) \longrightarrow \pi^+\pi^0 n(n)$

↪ detected particles:

- 1 charged:
  - π^+
- 3 uncharged:
  - $\pi^0 \longrightarrow \gamma\gamma$ (98.823 %)
  - neutron participant

$\gamma n(p) \longrightarrow \pi^-\pi^0 p(p)$

↪ detected particles:

- 2 charged:
  - π^-
  - proton participant
- 2 uncharged:
  - $\pi^0 \longrightarrow \gamma\gamma$ (98.823 %)

Further selection of events necessary through cuts and corrections

Various Cuts for event selection:

- charged particle identification via energy left in PID versus energy in CB ("dE-E cut")

Various Cuts for event selection:

- charged particle identification via energy left in PID versus energy in CB ("dE-E cut")
- invariant mass of the π^0 reconstructed from $\gamma\gamma$ in case of three neutral particles, get neutron candidate via χ^2 test

Analysis

Background Rejection

Various Cuts for event selection:

- charged particle identification via energy left in PID versus energy in CB ("dE-E cut")
- invariant mass of the π^0 reconstructed from $\gamma\gamma$ in case of three neutral particles, get neutron candidate via χ^2 test
- missing mass calculation $\Delta M = M - m_N$

Analysis

Background Rejection

Various Cuts for event selection:

- charged particle identification via energy left in PID versus energy in CB ("dE-E cut")
- invariant mass of the π^0 reconstructed from $\gamma\gamma$ in case of three neutral particles, get neutron candidate via χ^2 test
- missing mass calculation $\Delta M = M - m_N$
- coplanarity of the final state (ϕ-angle between the $\pi^{+/-}\pi^0$ system and the participant nucleon)

Various Cuts for event selection:

- charged particle identification via energy left in PID versus energy in CB ("dE-E cut")
- invariant mass of the π^0 reconstructed from $\gamma\gamma$ in case of three neutral particles, get neutron candidate via χ^2 test
- missing mass calculation $\Delta M = M - m_N$
- coplanarity of the final state (ϕ-angle between the $\pi^{+/-}\pi^0$ system and the participant nucleon)



meson candidate(red) and recoil nucleon(blue) lie in the reaction plane, separated by azi. $\delta\varphi = 180°$

Special Corrections on MC data

- Nucleon Detection Efficiency
  [to compensate for imperfections in the implementation of the experimental setup in GEANT and inefficiencies in the PID and the TAPS vetoes]

Special Corrections on MC data

- Nucleon Detection Efficiency
  [to compensate for imperfections in the implementation of the experimental setup in GEANT and inefficiencies in the PID and the TAPS vetoes]
- CB Energy sum correction/CDF
  [The energy-sum trigger checks the sum of the deposited energies of the particles in CB against a threshold value]

Special Corrections on MC data

- Nucleon Detection Efficiency
  [to compensate for imperfections in the implementation of the experimental setup in GEANT and inefficiencies in the PID and the TAPS vetoes]
- CB Energy sum correction/CDF
  [The energy-sum trigger checks the sum of the deposited energies of the particles in CB against a threshold value]
- Gap correction
  [acceptance hole between the CB and TAPS, where no particles are detected]

- apply all cuts and corrections to data

Analysis

Calculating Cross sections

- apply all cuts and corrections to data
- retrieve photon flux from tagger channels

Calculating Cross sections

- apply all cuts and corrections to data
- retrieve photon flux from tagger channels
- generate MC data for channels with Geant4 simulation

Analysis

Calculating Cross sections

- apply all cuts and corrections to data
- retrieve photon flux from tagger channels
- generate MC data for channels with Geant4 simulation
- apply all the cuts and corrections to MC data

- apply all cuts and corrections to data
- retrieve photon flux from tagger channels
- generate MC data for channels with Geant4 simulation
- apply all the cuts and corrections to MC data
- divide data yield by the efficiency

Double polarization observables

Beam-Target	**Beam-Recoil**	**Target-Recoil**
G, H, E, F	O_x, O_z, C_x, C_z	T_x, T_z, L_x, L_z

Table: The double polarisation observables can be divided into three groups of four observables [5]

Double polarization observables

Beam-Target	Beam-Recoil	Target-Recoil
E		

Table: The double polarisation observables can be divided into three groups of four observables [5]

E-observable extraction

Asymmetry between the two helicity states

E-observable determines the conribution from $\sigma_{1/2}$ and $\sigma_{3/2}$ components

$$E_{version1} = \frac{\sigma_{1/2}-\sigma_{3/2}}{\sigma_{1/2}+\sigma_{3/2}} = \frac{\sigma_{diff}}{\sigma_{sum}} \text{ or, } E_{version2} = \frac{\sigma_{diff}}{2\sigma_{unpol.}}$$

where, $\sigma_{1/2}$: photon-spin $\nparallel$ target-spin
and $\sigma_{3/2}$: photon-spin $\parallel$ target-spin

•**V1(Carbon subtraction method):** to determine the carbon and oxygen contributions to the dButanol
•**V2(Direct method):** extract tot. CS from dButanol beamtime $\rightarrow$ to be normalized using $2\times$unpolarized CS.

- *Circularly polarized photon beam* impinging on a *longitudinally polarized nucleon target*

Example of mm-fit for C-subtraction method



Proton and Charged Pion identification with PID and CB



(a) For π^+*channel* : pion



(b) For π^-*channel* : pion and proton

Figure: Identification of charged particle

Preliminary Results



Figure: Influence of the CB energy sum and NDE correction on total Cross section for $\pi^0\pi^- p$ final state [3]

Preliminary Results

Total Cross section comparison in terms of E_γ with LD_2 target



(a) For reaction with final state $\pi^0\pi^+$ [6]



(b) For reaction with final state $\pi^0\pi^-$ [6]

Preliminary Results

Comparison plot of total cross sections in terms of W(COM energy) with LD_2 and d-Butanol targets(Dec˙15)



Figure: For $\gamma p \to \pi^0\pi^+ n$ channel



Figure: For $\gamma n \to \pi^0\pi^- p$ channel

Preliminary Results

Comparison plot of total cross sections in terms of W(COM energy) with LD_2 and d-Butanol targets(May˙16)



Figure: For $\gamma p \to \pi^0\pi^+ n$ channel



Figure: For $\gamma n \to \pi^0\pi^- p$ channel

Preliminary Results: E-observable extraction

For d-Butanol target(**Dec'15 beamtime**)



(a) for $\gamma p \to \pi^0 \pi^+ n$



(b) for $\gamma n \to \pi^0 \pi^- p$

Preliminary Results: E-observable extraction

For d-Butanol target(**Dec˙15 beamtime**)



(a) for $\gamma p \to \pi^0\pi^+ n$



(b) for $\gamma n \to \pi^0\pi^- p$

Preliminary result indicates not much of significant asymmetry!

Summary and Outlook

Summary :

- Preliminary cross sections for both mixed charge double pion production channels extracted
- Comparison of results from final analysis with previous data
- Extraction of E-observable with hydrogen normalization and carbon subtraction metods
- measurements with d-Butanol targets still in process before the final result

Summary and Outlook

Summary :

- Preliminary cross sections for both mixed charge double pion production channels extracted
- Comparison of results from final analysis with previous data
- Extraction of E-observable with hydrogen normalization and carbon subtraction metods
- measurements with d-Butanol targets still in process before the final result

Outlook :

- Need further investigation on bkg. subtraction and energy sum correction
- Data from other d-Butanol beamtimes to be analyzed

References

- https://jazz.physik.unibas.ch/site/talks/krusche_dnp08.pdf
- https://jazz.physik.unibas.ch/site/talks/Abt_DPG_17_03_talk.pdf
- https://www1.cb.uni-bonn.de/index.php?id=3
- https://jazz.physik.unibas.ch/site/talks/lutterer_dpg_talk_pion_photoproduction_30032017.pdf.
- https://edoc.unibas.ch/39089/1/Lilian_Witthauer.pdf
- Phys.Lett.B 551, 49 (2003) Eur. Phys. Jour. A 47, 36 (2011)

THANK YOU

backup

Preliminary Results

Total Cross section comparison for LD_2 target [May'09 beamtime]



(a) For reaction with final state $\pi^0\pi^+$



(b) Influence of the CB energy sum correction on total Cross section for $\pi^0\pi^- p$ final state

E-observable in terms of photon energy (dec15)



Analysis

$$m_{n[part.]} = \sqrt{(p^4_{beam} + p^4_{target} - p^4_{\pi^+} - p^4_{\pi^0})^2}$$

where,

- $p^4_{beam} = (0{,}0{,}E\gamma, E_\gamma)$ incoming tagged photon
- $p^4_{target} = (0{,}0{,}0{,}m_{p[part.]})$ participant proton initially assumed at rest (fermi momentum smearing increases inaccuracy of this assumption)
- $p^4_{\pi^+}$ and $p^4_{\pi^0}$ measured final state pions (accurate for $p^4_{\pi^0}$ and with slight correction factor for low energy $p^4_{\pi^+}$)
- $m_{n[part.]}$ = mass of the final state participant neutron
- spectator omitted from this calculation (assumed $p^4_{n[spec.]}(\text{initial}) = p^4_{n[spec.]}(\text{final})$)

Coplanarity cut−



meson candiate(red) and recoil nucleon(blue) lie in the reaction plane, separated by azi. $\delta\phi = 180^o$

Missing mass cut−

mass M of the nucleon can be calculated from the initial state and the detected final state particles, assuming that the nucleon in the initial state is at rest:

$$M = \sqrt{(E_\gamma + m_N - E_\eta)^2 - (\vec{p}_\gamma - \vec{p}_\eta)^2},$$

where E_γ and $\vec{p}_\gamma$ are energy and momentum of the incident photon beam, E_η and $\vec{p}_\eta$ are the energy and momentum of the η meson, and m_N is the nucleon mass. With a correct identification of the reaction, the corresponding spectra should have a clear peak at the nucleon mass m_N. Thus, the nucleon mass was directly subtracted to get the missing mass:

$$\Delta M = M - m_N\,.$$

E-observable

$$E = \frac{1}{P_B P_T} \frac{N_{1/2} - N_{3/2}}{(N_{1/2} - N_B) + (N_{3/2} - N_B)}$$

$$\sigma_{(1/2) or (3/2)} = \sigma_0 (1 \pm E)$$
$$\sigma_{(1/2) or (3/2)} = \frac{\sigma_{sum} \pm \sigma_{diff}}{2}$$

where,
P_B = beam polarization
P_B = target polarization
$N_{1/2}$ and $N_{3/2}$ = count rates, measured for the two spin configurations
N_B = bkg count rate with nucleons bound in the unpolarized J = 0 carbon and oxygen nuclei

Corrections

software trigger [cdf/CB energy sum]: The CB energy sum trigger is checking the total sum of the analog signals of all NaI(TI) crystals against a threshold, which corresponds to a certain energy. photon energy sum depends on the energy and angular distribution of the -meson and thus a certain model dependence is introduced





Corrections

nucleon detection efficiency correction: The PID detector was shifted upstream during the December 2007 beamtime and to ensure a clean discrimination of protons and neutrons, a strict cut on the nucleon polar angle was applied in the data analysis. The corrections described here were determined for deuterium beamtime by setting the same detector thresholds in the hydrogen analysis and the corresponding deuterium analysis. This is most crucial for the PID and Veto thresholds that have a strong influence on the proton detection efficiency, and the TAPS CFD thresholds, which are important for the detection of neutrons.